

©CNMCA

# The CNMCA Operational LETKF Data Assimilation System: Recent Developments

Lucio Torrisi, <u>Francesca Marcucci</u> torrisi@meteoam.it, marcucci@meteoam.it

**CNMCA, Italian National Met Center, Roma** 



COSMO User Seminar, Offenbach, 5-7 March 2013



# Outline

- The EnDA implementation of CNMCA: Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF)
- Comparison HRM-COSMO LETKF
  - Observation Increment Statistics
  - Forecast verification
- COSMO-LETKF: Experiment with most recent EPS perturbation
- Future developments





# Ensemble Kalman Filter (LETKF)

- At CNMCA the LETKF (Hunt et al. 2007) formulation was chosen, because algorithmically simple to code, intrinsically parallel, etc.
- The analysis is done in the space of the ensemble perturbations and computed separately at each grid point selecting only the obs in a vicinity. The obs error covariance R elements are modified by distance-dependent localization factors ρ [0-1], so that far-away obs have large errors (R localization R<sub>I</sub>=ρ<sup>-1</sup> R). This explicit

localization reduces the problem dimensionality and the spurious correlations between distant locations due to limited ensemble size

Analysis  
Ensemble Mean
$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^b \stackrel{\circ}{\mathsf{G}} \mathbf{X}^b \overline{\mathbf{w}}^a$$
 $\overline{\mathbf{W}}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^a \mathbf{Y}^{bT} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^b))$ Analysis  
Ensemble Perturb. $\mathbf{X}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} \mathbf{X}^b \mathbf{W}^a$  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} [(\mathbf{m}-1)\mathbf{I} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathsf{G}} \mathbf{Y}^{bT} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^b]^{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}1}$ Analysis  
Ensemble $\mathbf{X}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} \mathbf{X}^b \stackrel{\circ}{\mathsf{G}} \mathbf{X}^b \mathbf{w}^a$  $\mathbf{Y}^b \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} [(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^b_1) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^b), \dots, (\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^b_m) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^b))]$  $\mathbf{W}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} [(\mathbf{m}-1)\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^a]$  $\mathbf{w}^a \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow} \mathbf{W}^a \stackrel{\circ}{\mathsf{G}} [\overline{\mathbf{w}}^a, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{w}}^a]$ 



 – ensemble mean analysis is the linear combination of forecast ensemble states which best fits the observational dataset
– analysis ensemble members are locally linear combinations of background ensemble members



# **CNMCA LETKF Implementation**

- 40+1 member ensemble at 0.09° (~10Km) grid spacing (HRM model), 40 hybrid p-sigma vertical levels (top at 10 hPa)
- 6-hourly assimilation cycle run and (T,u,v,qv,ps) as a set of control variables
- Observations: RAOB, SYNOP, SHIP, BUOY, AIREP, AMDAR, ACAR, AMV (MSG, MET7), WindPROF, SCAT(METOP), AMSU-A (METOP,NOAA) radiances (very soon)
- Horizontal localization with 800 Km circular local patches (obs weight smoothly decay with a pseudo-gaussian function of hor. distance)
- Vertical localization to layers whose depth increases from 0.2 scale heights at the lowest model levels to 2. scale heights at the model top (obs weight smoothly decay with a pseudo-gaussian function of scale height)
- Adaptive selection radius using a fixed number of effective observations (sum of obs weights)
- Daily large scale blending of the mean analysis with the IFS analysis to compensate the limited satellite data usage

©CNMCA

**Covariance** Inflaction

In the CNMCA LETKF implementation, model errors and sampling errors are taken into account using:

- Multiplicative Inflaction: Relaxation to Prior Spread according to Whitaker et al (2012)

an. pert. 
$$\mathbf{x}'_{\mathrm{a}} = \mathbf{x}'_{\mathrm{a}} \sqrt{\alpha \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^2 - \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^2}{\sigma_{\underline{a}}^2} + 1}}$$
  $\alpha = 0.95$   
 $\sigma^2 = \text{variance}$ 

- Climatological Additive Noise

an. memb. 
$$\mathbf{X}_{i}^{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{X}_{i}^{a} + \alpha \mathbf{X}_{i}^{n}, \quad \alpha \mathbf{X}_{i}^{n} \sim N(0, \mathbf{Q}) \quad \alpha$$
 Scale factor  $\mathbf{X}_{i}^{n}$  randomly selected, 48-24h forecast differences

- Lateral Boundary Condition Perturbation using EPS
- Climatological Perturbed SST

©CNMCA



# **CNMCA NWP SYSTEM since 1 June 11**

LETKF analysis ensemble (40+1 members) every 6h

٥٥

10°E

20°E

#### using TEMP, PILOT, SYNOP, SHIP, BUOY, Wind Profiler, **Ensemble Data Assimilation:** AMDAR-ACAR-AIREP, MSG/MET7 AMV, METOP scatt. winds, NOAA/METOP AMSUA radiances (very soon) 10 km + Land SAF snow mask, IFS SST analysis once a day **Control State** 40 v.l. Analysis HRM hydrostatic model parameterized convection COSMO-ME (7km) ITALIAN MET SERVICE 40°W 60° E 40° W 60°I 60°E 2.8 km compressible equations explicit convection 50 v.l. 50 ° N 30° W 50°E COSMO-IT (2.8Km) ITALIAN MET SERVICE 10°E 30°E 00 40 ° K 50° N 20° W 40°E 30°N 30°E 10°W 10°E 20°E 30°E compressible equations 7 km parameterized convection 40<sup>°</sup> N 40 v.l. Local Area Modelling: COSMO © CNMCA

# COSMO model in CNMCA-LETKF

- HRM hydrostatic model is subtituted by COSMO nonhydrostatic model in CNMCA LETKF system taking into account of that:
  - The model top is raised from <sup>1</sup>/<sub>28</sub>21.5km (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>28</sub>43hPa) to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>28</sub>26km (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>28</sub>18hPa) using 45 vertical levels to reduce the influence of the sponge layer (upper levels Rayleigh damping zone)
    - Initial pressure perturbation fields are derived using the hydrostatic balance equation
- The CNMCA-LETKF system using COSMO model is experimental running since February 2012 with basicly the same settings of the operational one
- Observation increment statistics (obs-BG) is continously monitored and deterministic forecasts from this system are objectively verified against conventional observations





# Radiosoundes obs increment statistics (obs -BG ensemble mean) on 40 pressure levels *from 1 jun 2012 to 11 feb 2013* (00 and 12 UTC)



### TEMPERATURE OBS INCR STATISTICS





## TEMPERATURE OBS INCR STATISTICS





# TEMPERATURE OBS INCR STATISTICS



### **REL.HUMIDITY** OBS INCR STATISTICS



C

CNMCA



## **REL.HUMIDITY** OBS INCR STATISTICS





## **REL.HUMIDITY** OBS INCR STATISTICS



OBS INCR STATISTICS: WINTER

CNMC





**REL.HUMIDITY** 

AT 12 UTC MAIN CONTRIBUTION TO RH BIAS COMES FROM SPEC.HUMIDITY





## U-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS



### U-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS





### U-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS





## V-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS





### V-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS



### V-WIND OBS INCR STATISTICS





# **NEW COSMO SETTINGS** OBS. INCR. STATISTICS

#### tkhmin=0.7, ltkesso=.TRUE.

STDV U 0901 1021

ехр

ope

**U-WIND** 

100

200

300

400

500

600

700

800

900

1000

0.5

SCAD:00 BIAS U

. ...

.

• ¥.

1

4 ک

....

100

200

300

400

500

600

700

800

900

1000

-0.5

ope

exp







**TEMPERATURE** 













© CNMCA

NEW

22oct-

30nov



# **NEW COSMO SETTINGS** OBS. INCR. STATISTICS

#### tkhmin=0.7, ltkesso=.TRUE.



1000

-0.5

1000

0.5

1000

-0.5

Hik**be** 

1000

0.5

1000

©CNMCA

1000

1000



# Summary of Results

From observation increment statistics :

#### Nocturnal larger negative temperature bias near the surface in COSMO-LETKF background ensemble mean in fall as observed during the spring

- <sup>#</sup> The prognostic TKE scheme is too diffusive  $\rightarrow$  tkhmin decreased
- The new settings improves the performance of COSMO-LETKF background ensemble mean

# Diurnal larger positive humidity bias in the middle-lower troposphere using COSMO model as during the spring

• COSMO-LETKF tends to moisten the troposphere more than the HRM-LETKF.

# Slight u-wind over-estimation at 00UTC in the winter period in COSMO model

<sup>#</sup> Probably due to the changes in COSMO-LETKF.





# Deterministic forecast is verified against conventional observation *from 1 jun 2012 to 11 feb 2013*

# (00 UTC FCST)



©CNMCA

FORECAST VERIFICATION SCORES 1jun 2012 – 11feb 2013

100 100 100 100 OPE OPE **EXP EXP** 200 200 200 200 PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) 500 500 500 500 600 600 600 600 700 700 700 700 800 800 800 800 900 900 900 900 1000 1000 1000 1000 -1 i. 2 -1 ぅ - Ô ń Ô SAMPLE SIZE SAMPLE SIZE RMSE RMSE MEAN ERROR MEAN ERROR **T** emperature **00UTC FC+48h** Temperature 00UTC FC+36h LUSIVIU-IVIE EX 100 100 100 100-OPE OPE **EXP EXP** 200 200 200 200 PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) PRESSURE (hPa) 500 500 500 500-

600

700

800

900

1000

-1

Ô.

MEAN ERROR

Temperature 00UTC FC+12h

# CNMC

600

700

800

900

1000

-1

Ò

MEAN ERROR

600 700 800 900

RMSE

2

1000

SAMPLE SIZE

600-700-800-

SAMPLE SIZE

900-

1000

Ó

2

RMSE

#### Temperature 00UTC FC+24h



#### Temperature 00UTC FC+12h



FORECAST VERIFICATION SCORES 1jun 2012 – 11feb 2013

#### Spec. Humidity 00UTC FC+12h

CNMC

#### Spec. Humidity 00UTC FC+24h





#### Spec. Humidity 00UTC FC+12h



WIND OOUTC FC+12h

CNMC



#### FORECAST VERIFICATION SCORES 1jun 2012 - 11feb 2013

WIND



**00UTC FC+24h** 





#### WIND OOUTC FC+12h





### T2m 00UTC RUN



### 6h accumulated PRECIPITATION 00UTC RUN



© CNMCA

CNMC



Summary of Results

From forecast verification :

- Slight wind speed bias reduction with COSMO-LETKF
- Slight overestimation of precipitation
- After changing in the COSMO-LETKF settings: slight improvement in temperature bias





**COSMO LETKF TEST ON BC CHANGE** 

- OPE: EPS Perturbations 24 h older
- EXP: MOST RECENT EPS Perturbations (12h OLDER)

Radiosoundes obs increment statistics (obs -BG ensemble mean) on 40 pressure levels *From 23 OCT 2012 to 12 DEC 2012* (00 and 12 UTC)



### COSMO-LETKF 40 MEMBERS: BC CHANGE OBS.INCR.STATISTICS 24 OCT 2012 to 12 DEC 2012



CNMC

# 

-1

Ó.

W. SPEED MEAN ERROR

### COSMO-LETKF 40 MEMBERS: BC CHANGE VERIFICATION SCORES 24 OCT 2012 to 12 DEC 2012

Ó

W. SPEED MEAN ERROR

2000 3000

SAMPLE SIZE

-1

5

W. VECTOR RMSE

6 Ż

3 Å

8

#### Temperature 00UTC FC+12h

3

2

2000 3000

SAMPLE SIZE

5

W. VECTOR RMSE

6 7 8

4

Temperature 00UTC FC+24h





# Future Developments

- > Assimilation of AMSU A-B/MHS (with "multi-step" approach) and IASI retrievals
- Study of the impact of different observations with FSO technique (Kalnay et al)
- > Use of KENDA and contribution to its improvement
- > Tests with shorter assimilation window
- Further tuning of model error representation (tuning of cov. localization, self-evolved additive noise, bias correction, etc.)
- Further tests of the Short-Range EPS based on COSMO-ME LETKF





# Thanks for your attention !







©CNMCA

# SPEC. HUMIDITY OBS INCR STATISTICS



# SPEC. HUMIDITY OBS INCR STATISTICS

CNMCA



### SPEC. HUMIDITY OBS INCR STATISTICS

CNMCA



#### **MSLP pressure 00UTC RUN**



# **10m WIND SPEED OOUTC RUN**



COSMO-ME\_OPE: Blue\_COSMO-ME\_EXP: Red



WIND SPEED (m/s) - 00 UTC RUN Verification from 01/09/12 to 30/11/12 COSMO-ME OPE: Blue COSMO-ME EXP: Red



WIND SPEED (m/s) - 00 UTC RUN Verification from 01/12/12 to 06/02/13 COSMO-ME OPE: Blue COSMO-ME EXP: Red





LETKF: account of model error / additive inflation

# **Modified Version of Stochastic Physics**



©CNMCA



LETKF: account of model error / additive inflation

# 05 June 2011 00UTC

#### 6h Accumulated Precipitation (T+36 - T+42h)





© CNMCA

#### LETKF: account of model error / additive inflation

#### 500 hPa Temperature Spread for 10 members



# 05 June 2011 00UTC



T+36h



T+48h















#### Temperature 00UTC FC+24h





#### Spec. Humidity 00UTC FC+24h





#### WIND 00UTC FC+24h





#### SURFACE FIELDS FORECAST VERIFICATION SCORES

#### T2m 00UTC RUN

**MSLP pressure 00UTC RUN** 





Stochastic Physics

### $X_p = (1+r \mu)X_c$

#### **Modified Version** (in blue, differences from Buizza et al, 1999)

• For all variables (u,v,T,qv), the random numbers r are drawn from a uniform distribution in a certain range [-0.5,0.5] or a gaussian distribution with stdv (0.1-0.5) bounded to a certain value (range= ± 2-3 stdv) on a coarse horizontal grid every n time-steps

- A tapering factor μ is used to reduce r close to the surface and in the stratosphere (Palmer et al, 2009)
- The perturbations of T and qv are not applied if they lead to particular humidity values (exceeding the saturation value or negative values)
- Spatial correlation is imposed using the same r in a whole column and drawing r for a coarse grid with spacing DL (boxes); then they are bilinearly interpolated on the finer grid to have a smooth pattern in space
- Temporal correlation is achieved by drawing r every n time steps (Dt); then they are linearly interpolated for the intermediate steps to have a smooth pattern in time
- Coarse grid SW corner is different for each member





# COSMO-ME EPS

40 members with 0.09° grid ROME Analysis VT:Martedi 27 Novembre 2012 00UTC Geopotenziale 500 hPa + Temperatura 500 hPa n.a. spacing,  $\frac{1}{28}$ 26km ( $\frac{1}{28}$ 18hPa) model top, 45 vertical levels, IC from CNMCA LETKF, BC from deterministic IFS perturbed by ECMWF EPS Η ROME Analysis VT:Martedi 27 Novembre 2012 00UTC Geopotenziale 850 hPa + Temperatura 850 hPa n.a. -12 - 573 30'E SC 27 nov 2012 00 UTC 144 -3 H Ś ര് ©CNMCA 00

#### 27 nov 2012 +18-24

#### 5-24

Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT.00UTC 28 November 2012 to 08UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipital

+24-30



ccumulation of 0. Forecasts VT 06UTC 28 November 2012 to 12UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitation

DME. Accumulation of 0. Forecasts VT:12UTC 28 November 2012 to 18UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipita







OFFNB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 12UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitation

COSID\_ME\_precipitation in the previous of hour trend

+36-42



FNB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 00UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total preci in a 75 hours interval







NB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 18UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitati



**COSMO-ME EPS** 

OFFNB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 18UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitation in a 06 hours interval









#### 27 nov 2012 +18-24

+24-30

+30-36

#### +36-42

























Italia 28-11-2012 07.45 UTC - Radar SRI (mm/h)

0.5 2 5 12 25 50

# COSMO-ME EPS with and w/o stochastics physics

#### FORECAST 30-36h



#### 28 nov 2012 07:45 UTC





28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitati in a 06 hours interval



DFFNB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 12UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitati in a 06 hours interval



OFFNB Accumulation of 0 Forecasts VT:00UTC 27 November 2012 to 12UTC 28 November 2012 Surface: total precipitatio

