

Interactions between groundwater dynamics and biogeophysical processes – a case study using the TerrSysMP

Prabhakar Shrestha, Mauro Sulis, Matthieu Masbou, Stefan Kollet, Clemens Simmer

Z4, TR32, Meteorological Institute, University of Bonn









Outline



- 1. Features of the TerrSysMP
- 2. Real Test Case Simulation
- 3. Conclusions









Features of the TerrSysMP





Configuration Options:

- 0 COSMO standalone
 1 CLM standalone
 2 ParFlow standalone
 3 COSMO+CLM
 4 CLM+ParFlow
- 5 COSMO+CLM+ParFlow









Features of the TerrSysMP





Real Test Case Simulation



- Effect of GWT dynamics on surface fluxes
 - North-Rhine Westphalia (NRW domain, 150X150 km)
 - Clear sunny days (May, 2008)
 - Model integrated for 5 days
 - Soil Moisture and Temperature Initialized with CLM spinup
 - COSMO-DE 2.8 km analysis files from DWD used for initial and lateral boundary condition for COSMO
 - Mosaic approach used
 - $\Delta x, \Delta y = 1.0$ km (atmosphere)
 - Δx , $\Delta y = 0.5$ km (land-surface and sub-surface)









Real Test Case Simulation: NRW surface data















TerrSysMP setup:

- COSMO : 150X150X50, $\Delta x=1.0$ km, $\Delta t=10$ s
- CLM : 300X300X10, $\Delta x=0.5$ km, $\Delta t=900$ s
- ParFlow : 300X300X30, $\Delta x=0.5$ km, $\Delta t=900$ s
- OASIS3 : Δ cplfreq = 900s

Test Case Description:

•Soil Hydrology Equivalence between CLM and ParFlow - REF [1], RUN1 [4], RUN2 [2], RUN3 [4]

- •Effect of soil permeability on surface fluxes
 - RUN4 [4], RUN5 [4]
- •Effect of vegetation and subsurface flow
 - RUN6 [5], RUN7 [5]

SVA Option: [0 = COSMO standalone 1 = CLM standalone 2 = ParFlow standalone 3 = COSMO + CLM 4=CLM+ParFlow 5 = COSMO+CLM+ParFlow]









Real Test Cases: Effect of permeability on surface fluxes



RUN3: CLM-ParFlow coupled run (Slope = 0)

RUN4: CLM-ParFlow coupled run (subsurface, Ksat =0.0024 m/hr)

RUN5: CLM-ParFlow coupled run (subsurface, Ksat =0.024 m/hr)



<u>a, b)</u> Differences spatially averaged over NRW domain. <u>c,d</u>) Spatial patterns of the difference for RUN5-RUN3











Spatially averaged surface fluxes MASKS: PFT and region with increase in LH flux













Spatially averaged surface fluxes MASKS: PFT and region with decrease in LH flux











Conclusions:



- The SVA modeling system is highly modular and can be used to study land-atmosphere interactions with explicit linkages to groundwater dynamics.
- Similar trend of soil hydrology between CLM and CLM-ParFlow (slope = 0) can be achieved by using equivalent vG parameters and adjusted initial pressure head.
- Pressure head obtained from CLM spinup is not in equilibrium with the topographic gradient.
- Sub-surface permeability affects the simulated surface fluxes
- Canopy cover and sub-surface flow appears to be strongly linked.











Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from SFB/TR32 "Patterns in Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Systems: Monitoring, Modelling and Data Assimilation funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

We are also very thankful to Reed Maxwell for providing us with the terrain following variable dz version of ParFlow. We also thank Eric Maisonave, CEREFACS and Edoward Davin, ETH for their initial support with the OASIS3 interface.

All analysis and plotting were done using NCL 6.0.0



















