# Tracer transport and mixing processes investigated with the MECO(n) system

C. Hofmann<sup>1</sup>, A. Kerkweg<sup>1</sup>, P. Hoor<sup>1</sup> and P. Jöckel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Johannes Gutenberg Universität, Mainz <sup>2</sup> Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Oberpfaffenhofen

COSMO/CLM-User-Seminar, Offenbach, 5th March 2013

Outline



1. The MECO(n) system

2. Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) analysed using MECO(n)

Outline



## 1. The MECO(n) system

- infrastructure MESSy
- setup

# 2. Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) analysed using MECO(n)



#### MECO(n) =

#### "MESSy-fied ECHAM and COSMO models nested n-times"



C. Hofmann et al.

#### COSMO/CLM-User-Seminar, 5<sup>th</sup> March 2013



MECO(n) =

#### "MESSy-fied ECHAM and COSMO models nested n-times"



C. Hofmann et al.

COSMO/CLM-User-Seminar, 5<sup>th</sup> March 2013



#### MESSy: Modular Earth Submodel System



Idea: Each process is coded as a modular entity (submodel) and connected via MESSy to a basemodel



#### MESSy: Modular Earth Submodel System













• ...



Technically, MESSy comprises:

- standard interfaces to couple the different components
- a simple coding standard
- a set of submodels

MESSy, implemented in COSMO5.0:

- pre-processor directives
- MESSy code available on request (http://www.messy-interface.org/)

Outline





- infrastructure MESSy
- setup

## 2. Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) analysed using MECO(n)

C. Hofmann et al.

JOHANNES G

• MECO(2) setup  $\rightarrow$  two COSMO/MESSy instances nested in the global EMAC instance

60°N 40°N 20°N ٥° 80°W 40°W 0° 40°E 80°E 900 1200 1500 1800 2100 2400 2700 3000 300 600 height of topography in m

C. Hofmann et al.

spatial setup:



-RG

MAINZ



• MECO(2) setup → two COSMO/MESSy instances nested in the global EMAC instance





• MECO(2) setup → two COSMO/MESSy instances nested in the global EMAC instance



Outline





- infrastructure MESSy
- setup

2. Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) analysed using MECO(n)

C. Hofmann et al.

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ



- case study of a low pressure system "Judy" in March 2010
- satellite image on 25<sup>th</sup> March 00UTC:



• time series of  $O_3$  in  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> measured at Schauinsland in March 2010



C. Hofmann et al.



• ECMWF analysis show a strong through above the low-pressure system



JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

**EMAC** 







60N

C. Hofmann et al.

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

- simulation period: 24<sup>th</sup> March to 28<sup>th</sup> March 2010
- artificial passive tracer initialised (STRATO)
- PV and mixing ratio of STRATO at approx. 250 hPa one hour after initialisation:

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

- simulation period: 24<sup>th</sup> March to 28<sup>th</sup> March 2010
- artificial passive tracer initialised (STRATO)
- PV and mixing ratio of STRATO at approx. 250 hPa one hour after initialisation:

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

**EMAC** 

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

- vertical cross sections of stratospheric tracer distributions along 45° N
- tracers are transported downward along the tropopause fold

C. Hofmann et al.

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

JGU

EMAC

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

- horizontal distribution of stratospheric tracers at lowest model level
- tracers descend behind the cold front (dry-airstream)

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

JGU

EMAC

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

- horizontal distribution of stratospheric tracers at lowest model level
- tracers descend behind the cold front (dry-airstream)
- ★ position of Schauinsland (47,9°N / 7,9°E / 1205m)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

- time series of vertical columns of stratospheric tracers at Schauinsland between 24<sup>th</sup> March (day of initialisation) and 27<sup>th</sup> March (3<sup>rd</sup> day after initialisation)
- strong increase of stratospheric tracer mixing ratio for COSMO on 26<sup>th</sup> March 12UTC
- > couple ozone data (passively) to compare differences with observations

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

C. Hofmann et al.

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

- temporal development of ozone simulated by COSMO at lowest model level coincides with observational data
- passive ozone of EMAC doesn't show the sudden increase
- difference between the masses of passive treated ozone and observation are the consequence of missing degradation processes

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

We used the MECO(n) system to analyse a case study where stratospheric air masses are transported to lower tropospheric levels:

- the temporal development of passive ozone, simulated with the COSMO/MESSy instance, fits the measurements much better compared to the EMAC instance
- initialised artificial stratospheric tracers enable the determination of transport of stratospheric ozone into the troposphere

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

We used the MECO(n) system to analyse a case study where stratospheric air masses are transported to lower tropospheric levels:

- the temporal development of passive ozone, simulated with the COSMO/MESSy instance, fits the measurements much better compared to the EMAC instance
- initialised artificial stratospheric tracers enable the determination of transport of stratospheric ozone into the troposphere

### Thank you for your attention !!!