





# The new global model for numerical weather prediction and climate modelling of DWD and MPI-M

**Günther Zängl** 



07.03.2013





# Outline

- Introduction: Main goals of the ICON project
- Important features of ICON
- Selected results: from idealized tests to NWP applications
- Time plans towards operational use of ICON







## ICON = <u>ICO</u>sahedral <u>N</u>onhydrostatic model

- Joint development project of DWD and Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology for the next-generation global NWP and climate modeling system
- Nonhydrostatic dynamical core on an icosahedral-triangular C-grid; coupled with full set of physics parameterizations
- Two-way nesting with capability for multiple nests per nesting level; vertical nesting, one-way nesting mode and limited-area mode are also available









## **Primary development goals**

- Better conservation properties (air mass, mass of trace gases and moisture, consistent transport of tracers)
- Grid nesting in order to replace both GME (global forecast model, mesh size 20 km) and COSMO-EU (regional model, mesh size 7 km) in the operational suite of DWD
- Applicability on a wide range of scales in space and time down to mesh sizes that require a nonhydrostatic dynamical core
- Scalability and efficiency on massively parallel computer architectures with O(10<sup>4</sup>+) cores
- At MPI-M: Develop an ocean model based on ICON grid structures and operators; Use limited-area mode of ICON to replace regional climate model REMO.
- By the end of this decade: participate in the seasonal prediction project EURO-SIP with coupled atmosphere-ocean ICON















Max-Planck-Institut

für Meteorologie







$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} - (\zeta + f)v_t + \frac{\partial K}{\partial n} + w \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial z} &= -c_{pd}\theta_v \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial n} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}_n w) - w \nabla \cdot \vec{v}_n + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} &= -c_{pd}\theta_v \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z} - g \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}\rho) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \rho \theta_v}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}\rho\theta_v) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \rho \theta_v}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}\rho\theta_v) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_n, w: \text{ normal/vertical velocity component} \\ \theta_v: \text{ Virtual potential temperature} \\ \text{K: horizontal kinetic energy} \\ \zeta: \text{ vertical vorticity component} \end{aligned}$$

 $\pi$ : Exner function

blue: independent prognostic variables

Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie





## **Numerical implementation**

- Two-time-level predictor-corrector time stepping scheme
- implicit treatment of vertically propagating sound waves, but explicit time-integration in the horizontal (at sound wave time step; not split-explicit); larger time step (usually 4x or 5x) for tracer advection / fast physics
- Finite-volume tracer advection scheme (Miura) with 2<sup>nd</sup>-order and 3<sup>rd</sup>-order accuracy for horizontal tracer advection; extension for CFL values slightly larger than 1 available
- 2<sup>nd</sup>-order and 3<sup>rd</sup>-order (PPM) for vertical advection with extension to CFL values much larger than 1 (partial-flux method)
- Monotonous and positive-definite flux limiters







#### Special discretization of horizontal pressure gradient (apart from conventional method; Zängl 2012, MWR)

 Precompute for each edge (velocity) point at level the grid layers into which the edge point would fall in the two adjacent cells







#### **Discretization of horizontal pressure gradient**

 Reconstruct the Exner function at the mass points using a quadratic Taylor expansion, starting from the point lying in the model layer closest to the edge point

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{c} = \pi_{c} + \frac{\partial \pi_{c}}{\partial z} (z_{e} - z_{c}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g}{c_{p} \theta_{v}^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{v}}{\partial z} (z_{e} - z_{c})^{2}$$

- Note: the quadratic term has been approximated using the hydrostatic equation to avoid computing a second derivative
- Treatment at slope points where the surface is intersected:

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x}\Big|_{S} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x}\Big|_{A} + \frac{g}{c_{p}\theta_{v}^{2}}\frac{\partial \theta_{v}}{\partial x}\Big|_{A}(z_{S} - z_{A})$$



### **Physics parameterizations**



Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie 

#### Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

Process	Authors	Scheme	Origin
Radiation	Mlawer et al. (1997) Barker et al. (2002)	RRTM (later with McICA & McSI)	ECHAM6/IFS
	Ritter and Geleyn (1992)	δ two-stream	GME/COSMO
Non-orographic gravity wave drag	Scinocca (2003) Orr, Bechtold et al. (2010)	wave dissipation at critical level	IFS
Sub-grid scale orographic drag	Lott and Miller (1997)	blocking, GWD	IFS
Cloud cover	Doms and Schättler (2004)	sub-grid diagnostic	GME/COSMO
	Köhler et al. (new development)	diagnostic (later prognostic) PDF	ICON
Microphysics	Doms and Schättler (2004) Seifert (2010)	prognostic: water vapor, cloud water,cloud ice, rain and snow	GME/COSMO
Convection	Tiedtke (1989) Bechthold et al. (2008)	mass-flux shallow and deep	IFS
Turbulent transfer	Raschendorfer (2001)	prognostic TKE	COSMO
	Louis (1979)	1st-order closure	GME
	Neggers, Köhler, Beljaars (2010)	EDMF-DUALM	IFS
Land	Heise and Schrodin (2002), Helmert, Mironov (2008, lake)	tiled TERRA + FLAKE + multi-layer snow	GME/COSMO
	Raddatz, Knorr	JSBACH	ECHAM6





## **Physics-dynamics coupling**

- Fast-physics processes: incremental update in the sequence: saturation adjustment, transfer scheme, surface coupling, turbulence, cloud microphysics, saturation adjustment
- Slow-physics processes (convection, cloud cover diagnosis, radiation, orographic blocking, sub-grid-scale gravity waves): tendencies are added to the right-hand side of the velocity and Exner pressure equation
- Diabatic heating rates related to phase changes and radiation are consistently treated at constant volume
- Option for reduced radiation grid with special domain decomposition to minimize day/night load imbalance







# **Selected experiments and results**

- Idealized tests with an isolated steep mountain, mesh size 300 m: atmosphere-at-rest and generation of nonhydrostatic gravity waves
- Jablonowski-Williamson baroclinic wave test with/without grid nesting
- DCMIP tropical cyclone test with/without grid nesting
- Real-case tests with interpolated IFS analysis data





#### atmosphere-at-rest test, isothermal atmosphere, results at t = 6h



maximum slope: 1.27 (52°) / 2.97 (71°)









vertical (left) / horizontal (right) wind speed (m/s), potential temperature (contour interval 4 K)

circular Gaussian mountain, e-folding width 2 km, height: 7.0 km

maximum slope: 2.97 (71°)









vertical (left) / horizontal (right) wind speed (m/s), potential temperature (contour interval 4 K)

circular Gaussian mountain, e-folding width 2 km, height: 7.0 km

maximum slope: 2.97 (71°)





#### ambient wind speed 7.5 m/s, multi-layer atmosphere, results at t = 6h



vertical (left) / horizontal (right) wind speed (m/s), potential temperature (contour interval 2 K)

3D Schär mountain, height: 4.0 km, peak-to-peak distance 4.0 km maximum slope: 2.73 (70°)











#### DCMIP tropical cyclone test with NWP physics schemes, evolution over 12 days



Max-Planck-Institut

für Meteorologie





# **Selected results of NWP test suite**

- Real-case tests with interpolated IFS analysis data
- 7-day forecasts starting at 00 UTC of each day in January and June 2012
- Model resolution 40 km / 90 levels up to 75 km (no nesting applied in the experiment shown here)
- Reference experiment with GME40L60 with interpolated IFS data
- WMO standard verification on 1.5° lat-lon grid against IFS analyses; separately for January and June
- Physics package: RRTM with Köhler cloud cover scheme, COSMO-EU microphysics of v4.24, Tiedtke-Bechtold convection, COSMO-EU turbulence scheme with minimum vertical diffusion coefficient of 0.2 m<sup>2</sup>/s, retuning of SSO scheme with respect to GME settings





WMO standard verification against IFS analysis: sea-level pressure, NH blue: GME 40 km with IFS analysis, red: ICON 40 km with IFS analysis



Verifikation der Vorhersagen vom 01.01.2012 00UTC bis 31.01.2012 00UTC Experiment ICON, Experiment 3840, Persistenz, Linien Parameter: Bodendruck, Gebiet: NH







WMO standard verification against IFS analysis: 500 hPa geopotential, NH blue: GME 40 km with IFS analysis, red: ICON 40 km with IFS analysis







WMO standard verification against IFS analysis: 850 hPa temperature, NH blue: GME 40 km with IFS analysis, red: ICON 40 km with IFS analysis









Verifikation der Vorhersagen vom 01.06.2012 00UTC bis 30.06.2012 00UTC Experiment ICON, Experiment 3840, Persistenz, Linien Parameter: Bodendruck, Gebiet: NH







WMO standard verification against IFS analysis: 500 hPa geopotential, NH blue: GME 40 km with IFS analysis, red: ICON 40 km with IFS analysis



Parameter: Geopotential, Gebiet: NH , Druckfläche 0500 hPa





WMO standard verification against IFS analysis: 850 hPa temperature, NH blue: GME 40 km with IFS analysis, red: ICON 40 km with IFS analysis







#### Two (arbitrarily chosen) examples of 7-day accumulated precipitation







## Time planning towards operational use

- Ongoing: systematic analysis and optimization of forecast quality of ICON using test series with interpolated IFS analyses
- Ongoing: Coupling with data assimilation
- Q3/2013: Start of preoperational tests with data assimilation
- Q1/2014-Q2/2014: First step of operational use of ICON: replacement of GME with 13-km ICON without nesting
- Q4/2014: Second step: Replacement of COSMO-EU by nested ICON domain (13-6.5 km)
- 2015: Additional one-way nested domains for MetBw
- Main risk: technical difficulties with GRIB2 I/O via cdi library, extensions of GRIB2 standard needed for unstructured grid, generalized vertical coordinate, tile approach for surface scheme, ...