



Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land surface impacts

Leonhard Gantner, Norbert Kalthoff, Vera Maurer

Acknowledgements: Jürgen Helmert, Uli Blahak, DWD and Rieke Heinze, IMUK Hannover

Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

Motivaton and Overview



Motivation:

In HD(CP)² runs with up to 100 m grid point distance are planned with ICON-LES

In NWP and climate applications coarser resolution is used

What is effect of resolution of land surface parameters?

Overview:

- Model setup
- HOPE campaign, part of HD(CP)² \rightarrow www.hdcp2.eu
- Comparison of COSMO results with observations and PALM LES runs
- Results for sensitivity runs

COSMO simulations: Model setup

- COSMO-DE analyses (0.025°) as initial and boundary conditions
- western part of Germany, covering HOPE area
- HWSD soil, using pedotransfer functions in TERRA
- COSMO 0.005° grid point distance (500 m)
- 4.5 7.5° E, 49.6 51.7° N, 80 vert. levs
- 21 h forecast starting at 0 UTC

- COSMO 0.001° GP distance (about 100m)
- 5.6 6.7°E, 50.4 51.05° N, 80 vert. levs
- 3d turbulence, Herzog (2002), fc 6 18 UTC
- Soil moisture from TERRA standalone runs



Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts





HOPE experiment, spring 2013, near Jülich, west of Cologne

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

www.hdcp2.eu/Campaign-HOPE.2306.0.html



- \rightarrow simultaneous vertical velocity measurements (w at 1 Hz) on six days with a convective BL
- ightarrow days mostly without boundary layer clouds
- \rightarrow calculation of vertical velocity variances w^{2} and turbulence spectra

Sensible heat flux, HOPE area, 5 May 2013, every hour five measurement stations, high horizontal variability was observed

COSMO 100 with different soil initializations and COSMO-DE simulation results



Mean flux similar in COSMO-DE and COSMO 100

Horizontal variability improved in COSMO 100 m







single-column output (30 s) at four "random" locations \rightarrow spatial differences are by chance!



28.04.2015 Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts

Model comparison: vertical velocity





Model comparison: vertical velocity







Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts







Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts



Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts

Sensitivity experiments



Investigate effect of resolution of soil and vegetation datarefsoil properties and vegetation 100 m gridsoisoil properties averaged 2500 m gridvegvegetation averaged 2500 m gridsoicsoil properties constantvegcvegetation constantdesoisoil moisture from COSMO-DE

Domain average land surface properties do not change, except for desoi



Soil-moisture initialization



05 May



28.04.2015 Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts

Sensitivity studies: Spatial mean and standard deviation for vertical profiles







Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts



Cloud-cover differences



areal mean values



28.04.2015 G

Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts

Summary



- COSMO runs in 0.001° (LES mode)
- Comparison of simulated flux and vertical velocity with measurements -→ model can reproduce main structures
- Vertical velocity similar in PALM LES and COSMO 100 m
- There are smaller structures, which are still not resolved
- Sensitivity experiments show strong local variations
- Biggest differences for areal mean values in soic (constant soil) and desoi (soil moisture from COSMO-DE)



Thank you

28.04.2015 Gantner .. Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on lland-surface impacts

Cloud water

abs diff



rel. diff



Sensible heat flux, HOPE area, 5. May 2013 five measurement stations, high horizontal variability was observed



COSMO 100 and COSMO-DE simulation results



Mean flux similar in COSMO-DE and COSMO 100

200 5 stations [W m⁻²] × 150 × max-min-difference for 100 50 COSMO 100 m COSMO-DE 0 50 100 150 200 0 max-min-difference for model distribution [W m⁻²]

Range of fluxes

Horizontal variability improved in COSMO 100 m



Gantner, Kalthoff, Maurer: Very high-resolution modeling with COSMO focusing on land-surface impacts



