# RADAR\_MIE\_LM and RADAR\_MIELIB — Calculation of Radar Reflectivity from model output

Ulrich Blahak, IMK Karlsruhe

5. February 2008 (with corrections from 6. Juni 2012)

## **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction				
2	Factors contributing to the radar "bright band"				
3	The 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	equiva Equiva Extinct Backsc Backsc Refract 3.5.1 3.5.2 3.5.3 3.5.4 3.5.5 3.5.6	I basis         lent radar reflectivity factor         tion         tion         cattering and extinction by spheres         cattering and extinction by two-layered spheres         tive index of hydrometeors         Water         Ice         Mixtures of ice, air and water         Bruggemann formula	<b>4</b> 55 66 77 8 100 14 14 15 16	
4 Routines in radar mielib		18			
	4.1	Refract	tive index of pure ice and water	18	
		4.1.1	FUNCTION m_complex_water_ray	18	
		4.1.2	FUNCTION m_complex_water_liebe	19	
		4.1.3	FUNCTION m_complex_ice_ray	20	
		4.1.4	FUNCTION m_complex_ice_warren	21	
		4.1.5	FUNCTION m_complex_ice_maetzler	22	
	4.2	Refract	tive index of mixture materials	23	
		4.2.1	FUNCTION m_complex_oguchi	23	
		4.2.2	FUNCTION m_complex_maxwellgarnett	24	
		4.2.3	FUNCTION m_complex_bruggemann	25	
		4.2.4	FUNCTION get_m_mix	26	
		4.2.5	FUNCTION get_m_mix_nested	27	
	4.3	Backsc	cattering cross section for single precipitation particles	29	
		4.3.1	SUBROUTINE MIE_DRY_GRAUPEL	29	
		4.3.2	SUBROUTINE RAYLEIGH_DRY_GRAUPEL	31	
		4.3.3	SUBROUTINE MIE_DRYHAIL	33	
		4.3.4	SUBROUTINE MIE_DRYSNOW	34	
		4.3.5	SUBROUTINE MIE_DRYSNOW_TWOSPH	36	
		4.3.6	SUBROUTINE MIE_WATERSPH_WETHAIL	38	
		4.3.7	SUBROUTINE MIE_SPONGY_WETHAIL	- 39	

		4.3.8 SUBROUTINE MIE_WATERSPH_WETGR	. 41
		4.3.9 SUBROUTINE MIE SOAK TWOSPH WETGR	. 43
		4.3.10 SUBROUTINE MIE MEAN WETGR	. 45
		4.3.11 SUBROUTINE MIE SOAK WETGR	. 46
		4.3.12 SUBROUTINE RAYLEIGH SOAK WETGR	. 48
		4.3.13 SUBROUTINE MIE_WETSNOW_TWOSPH	. 49
_			
5	rad	ar_mie_1m: Interface functions for the LM	51
	5.1	An approximation for the degree of melting applicable for bulk microphysical schemes	. 51
	5.2	An efficient Rayleigh-Approximation for melting hydrometeors with Oguchis refractive	e
		index formulation	. 54
	5.3	Controlling reflectivity calculations in LM — relevant namelist parameters and their sett	ing 56
	5.4	Tables of namelist parameter settings for the EMA choice	. 59
	5.5	Additions to LM-runscripts to enable reflectivity calculations	. 62
6	Bac	kscattering cross sections of dry ice particles	63
U	6.1	One-lavered sphere	. 63
	6.2	Two-layered sphere	. 66
7	Bac	kscattering cross sections for melting ice particles relative to the ma	ss-
	equ	ivalent water drop	68
	7.1	Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme	. 69
	7.2	Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 71
	7.3	Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme	. 73
	7.4	Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 75
	7.5	Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, LM-scheme	. 77
	7.6	Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 79
	7.7	Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, LM-scheme	. 81
	7.8	Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 83
	7.9	Mie: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme	. 85
	7.10	Mie: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 87
	7.11	Mie: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme	. 89
	7.12	Mie: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 91
	7.13	Mie: watersphere wet graupel, LM-scheme	. 93
	7.14	Mie: watersphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 94
	7.15	Mie: watersphere wet snow, LM-scheme	. 95
	7.16	Mie: watersphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 96
	7.17	Mie: spongy wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 97
	7.18	Mie: watersphere wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 98
	7.19	Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, LM-scheme	. 99
	7.20	Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 129
8	Inde	X	159
-	8.1	Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel. LM-scheme	. 159
	8.2	Rayleigh: soaked wet graunel. Seifert/Beheng-scheme	159
	8.3	Rayleigh: soaked wet snow. LM-scheme	. 159
	8.4	Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 159
	8 5	Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel LM-scheme	159
	8.6	Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	159
	8.7	Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow. LM-scheme	. 160
	8.8	Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow. Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 160
	8.9	Mie: soaked wet graupel. LM-scheme	. 160
	8 10	Mie: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 160
	8.11	Mie: soaked wet snow. LM-scheme	. 160
	8.12	Mie: soaked wet snow. Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 160
	8.13	Mie: watersphere wet graupel. LM-scheme	. 161
	8 14	Mie: watersphere wet graupel. Seifert/Beheng-scheme	. 161
		r	

Section 1 Section 1 TOC Index

Refe	References 16		
8.20	Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	163	
8.19	Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, LM-scheme	161	
8.18	Mie: watersphere wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	161	
8.17	Mie: spongy wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	161	
8.16	Mie: watersphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme	161	
8.15	Mie: watersphere wet snow, LM-scheme	161	

### **1** Introduction

9

The program package radar\_mielib provides Fortran-90 routines for the calculation of gridbox values of the equivalent radar reflectivity factor from model output based on Mie-scattering functions as well as Rayleigh approximation. Water drops and a variety of different kinds of dry and melting ice particles are considered (graupel, snow, cloud ice, hail).

Whereas radar\_mielib contains quite general subroutines, the library radar\_mie\_lm provides the necessary interface routines to use them with the Lokalmodell (LM) of the German Weather Service (DWD) together with the two-moment bulk microphysical scheme of Seifert and Beheng (2006) or the standard one-moment bulk microphysical schemes.

Note that in calculating gridbox values, propagation effects (extinction) and the spatial averaging properties (beam function) of radar systems are not considered. The neglect of attenuation confines the applicability of the calculated reflectivity values to quantitative comparisons at radar wavelengths with negligible attenuation only, e.g., S-band, or to situations or research questions where attenuation is not of primary importance. At shorter wavelengths (C-band, X-band) those effects have to be kept in mind when comparing with real radar data. The shorter the wavelength the stronger is attenuation. However, calculation of the extinction cross section is contained in the basic scattering routines in radar\_mielib, but is not used further by routines in radar\_mielib and radar\_mie\_lm which build upon them.

Special emphasis is given to the description of melting ice particles. It is well known, however, that this is a highly underdetermined problem, since, on one hand, the particles shapes are different from spherical and, on the other hand, the effective refractive index of the particle material (mixture of ice, water, and air) is very sensitive to the type and topology of mixture and the particles bulk density. Moreover, there is no absolutely precise theoretical description of the effective refractive index of such complex mixtures like in snow- and graupel particles — consequently, a large number of formulas for different applications are on the market.

In radar\_mielib, the problem is tackled by providing routines for 3 different mixing formulas for the refractive index (Maxwell-Garnett, Wiener, Bruggemann), whereas only spherical shapes are considered. In case of Mie-scattering, also a concentric two-layer-sphere particle model is implemented, which can be used for, e.g., snowflakes and melting hail- and graupel particles. Moreover, different melting topologies are considered, e.g., accumulation of meltwater on the outside or fully soaked particles, melting taking place only on the outside or partly within the ice structure. Combining the tools leaves one with an infinite number of possibilities to describe a melting particle. Especially the Maxwell-Garnett mixing rule allows 15 different refractive index values for a single set of ice-water-air volume fractions. From this it can clearly be seen that there is a large natural variability, which has to be considered when comparing calculated with measured radar reflectivities. Sensitivity studies with the help of the tools from radar\_mielib at least provide a lower bound for this high variability.

In the following sections, the tools of radar\_mielib are described in detail. To facilitate their proper use, calculated backscatter coefficients for different types of melting particles (snow, graupel and hail) as a function of the degree of melting and particle mass are presented, considering about 800 different combinations of particle types and mixing rules. The different particle types are taken from the cloud microphysical schemes of the Lokalmodell (LM) of the German Weather Service (DWD) — the standard 1-moment scheme as well as the 2-moment scheme of Seifert and Beheng (2006).

## 2 Factors contributing to the radar "bright band"

There are different (and sometimes competing) factors contributing to the observed radar bright band:

- Backscattering of single melting particles is enhanced in the presence of meltwater.
- Thinning of the number densitiy (number of particles per volume of air) by increasing fall speed with increasing degree of melting reduces backscattering.
- Upper part of the melting layer: particle breakup is dominant. Lower part: particle coalescence is dominant.

It should be emphasized that the backscattering enhancement of single melting particles treatable by the routines in radar\_mielib is only one of several processes contributing to the observed radar bright band. Therefore, the results presented later in Section 7 about backscattering cross sections of single particles as function of the degree of melting attribute only to this part of the reflectivity maximum.

### 3 Theoretical basis

In this section formulas are collected to calculate the unattenuated equivalent radar reflectivity factor  $Z_e$  from model output which are implemented in radar\_mielib. To simulate a real radar measurement, also attenuation, beam propagation and the beam smoothing function would have to be included, which is omitted here.

#### 3.1 Equivalent radar reflectivity factor

Consider the assumption of incoherent single scattering beeing the dominant effect in radar backscattering. Then, the equivalent radar reflectivity factor is commonly defined as

$$Z_{e} = \frac{\lambda_{0}^{4}}{\pi^{5} |K_{w,0}|^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{b}(D) N(D) dD \quad , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is the radar wavelength, N(D) the particle size distribution as a function of the (spherical) particle diameter D, and  $\sigma_b$  denotes the backscattering cross section of a particle having diameter D.  $|K_{w,0}|$  is a reference value of the dielectric factor used in the radar software, usually taken as 0.93 (water,  $T = 0^{\circ}$ C,  $lambda_0 \approx 5 - 10$  cm). N(D) depends also on time and location, but the explicit dependence is omitted for brevity.

 $Z_e$  would be output by a radar in case of a homogeneous spatial hydrometeor distribution across the range cell and no attenuation on the path to the cell. Written in the above notation, it is implicitly assumed that there is a unique relation between size (D) and  $\sigma_b(D)$  as it is the case for water drops to a good degree of approximation. Taking into account also ice particles complicates the description, since then, this uniqueness breaks down and we have to extend the dependencies of N and  $\sigma$  to particle shape and particle effective refractive index ( $\sigma_b(\text{shape}, D, \lambda_0, m)$ ).

As a compromise, one might define different types of ice hydrometeors (e.g., graupel, snow, hail) in a way that for these subtypes, a unique relation of  $\sigma$  and D exists. Then,  $Z_e$  is the sum of the contribution of each hydrometeor type. In fact, current microphysical descriptions in numerical weather prediction models classify ice hydrometeors in different types in a way to also let  $\sigma$  only depend on D.

As an example, the procedure to calculate  $Z_e$  from the output of a two-moment bulk microphysical scheme is as follows:

- f(D): assume certain master function for N(D), e.g., a four-parametric generalized gamma distribution. 2 free parameters are then deduced from the model predicted two moments (e.g., hydrometeor number- and mass density), and the other two parameters have to be fixed.
- Hydrometeors are a mixture of ice, air and water.

- Choose appropriate formula to calculate *m* as a function of *T*,  $\lambda$ , material, density and the degree of melting  $f_{melt}$ . For this, several state-of-the-art effective medium approximations could be used, but this is always a delicate choice. A huge uncertainty and variability has to be expected there.
- If the microphysics scheme does not predict  $f_{melt}$ , it has to be estimated from whatever information is available (temperature, particle size, etc.). This is a particularly difficult thing especially if there is no information about the particles history. Temperature and ventilation factors along backwards trajectories would be desireable for this task but are very costly to determine.
- $\sigma_b$ : apply Mie-scattering (one- or twolayered sphere) or Rayleigh-Approximation to calculate  $\sigma_b$ .
- $Z_e = \sum$  (all hydrometeor categories)

For the LM, these tasks are accomplished by interface subroutines in the library radar\_mie\_lm, building on the more general tools provided by radar\_mielib.

#### 3.2 Extinction

For completeness, the formula for the twoway attenuation coefficient  $k_2$  is given here:

$$k_2 = \frac{20}{\ln 10} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{ext}(D) N(D) dD \quad .$$
 (2)

 $\sigma_{ext}$  is the extinction cross section, depending on *D* as well as  $\lambda_0$ . Applying Lambert-Beer's extinction law, integration of  $k_2$  along the radar beam yields the attenuation factor. Again, there are issues about beam smoothing and propagation path which render an accurate calculation from model data very difficult and costly (apart from considering different hydrometeor types).

The calculation of  $\sigma_{ext}$  is implemented in all basic scattering subroutines but is not used further. This could however be extended by users who which to develop, e.g., a complete radar simulator including propagation effects.

#### 3.3 Backscattering and extinction by spheres

Consider a plane parallel electromagnetic wave incident on a spherical object with refractive index m (complex) different to that of the surrounding medium,  $m_0$  (real, no extinction). The Mie-solution of maxwells equations at a large distance from the scatterer leads to the formula for  $\sigma_b$ ,

$$\sigma_b = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (a_n - b_n) \right|^2 \quad .$$
(3)

 $a_n$  und  $b_n$  are the socalled Mie scattering coefficients of order *n* which depend only on the Mie-parameter  $\alpha = \pi \lambda_0 m_0/D$  and the relative refractive index  $m/m_0$  of the particle material. They in turn involve spherical bessel functions of the arguments  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha m/m_0$  and are omitted here.

As an alternative,  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  can be efficiently calculated by recurrence formulas derived by, e.g., Deirmendjian (1969) or Bohren and Huffman (1983). These formulas themselves build on recurrence relations for the involved spherical bessel functions.

A further simplification is the socalled **Rayleigh-approximation** for particles having *D* small compared to  $\lambda_0$ . Series expansions of  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  in equation (3) and retention of only the leading term yield the approximation

$$\sigma_b = \frac{\pi^5}{\lambda_0^4} \left| \frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 2} \right|^2 D^6 = \frac{\pi^5}{\lambda_0^4} |K|^2 D^6 \qquad \text{mit } \frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 2} = K$$
(4)

where  $m_0$  is set to 1 (air), so  $m/m_0$  reduces to just m. K is called the dielectric factor. The Validity of the approximation depends on the Mie parameter and  $m/m_0$ . Generally, larger  $m/m_0$  yield a more restrictive

Section 3 Subsection 3.3 TOC Index

size criterion. For water spheres a comparison of exact formula and approximation yields  $D < \lambda_0/20$ , for ice spheres  $D < \lambda_0/5$ . Bohren and Huffman (1983) formulate the condition as  $|m/m_0| \pi D_k/\lambda_0 \ll 1$ .

When the particles are very small compared with the wavelength, the Rayleigh-approximation is also valid for nonspherical particles. The smaller the particle the less important is its shape. D has then to be taken as the diameter of the volume equivalent sphere.

In case of m = const. (e.g., for water drops or dry ice spheres) and application of the Rayleigh approximation for  $\sigma_b$ ,  $Z_e$  is simply given by the 6. moment of the size distribution N(D),

$$Z_e = \frac{|K_w|^2}{|K_{w,0}|^2} \int_0^\infty D^6 N(D) \, dD \quad , \tag{5}$$

where water drops have been assumed in the formula without loss of generality.  $Z_e$  is equivalent to the 6. Moment of the size distribution of (hypothetically) measured water drops, which explaines the name "equivalent reflectivity factor". In cases of N(D) beeing a certain type of function (e.g., a generalized gamma distribution), the integral in (5) can be solved analytically, involving gamma functions.

The extinction coefficient  $\sigma_{ext}$  for spherical particles is given by

$$\sigma_{ext} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \Re \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (a_n + b_n) \right\} .$$
(6)

A similar approximation as in equation (4) yields

$$\sigma_{ext} = \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda_0} \Im\{-K\} D^3 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\pi^5}{\lambda_0^4} |K|^2 D^6 \quad , \tag{7}$$

with K having the same meaning as in equation (4). Two terms have been retained here since they might be of same order in certain situations. The first term describes the losses by absorption, second term the losses by scattering in all directions.

In case of a small water drop  $\sigma_{ext}$  is dominated by the absorption term (example with subscript  $_w$  for water:  $\lambda_0 = 1 \text{ cm}, m_w = 4.97 - 2.79i (10^{\circ}\text{C})$  after Ray (1972),  $|K_w|^2 = 0.91$ ,  $\Im\{-K\} = 0.07$ ,  $D = 0.1 \text{ mm} \Rightarrow$ absorption term  $= 7 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2$ , scattering term  $= 3 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2$ , geometrical cross section  $= 8 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2$ . In case of small ice particles both terms are important, since  $\Im\{-K_i\} \ll |K_i|^2$  (subscript  $_i$  for ice). Ice absorbs microwave radiation much less than water.

For water droplets in the microwave region, the approximation (7) is only valid for  $D < \lambda_0/100$ , whereas for ice spheres  $D < \lambda_0/2$ , which is by far less restrictive. The same rule of thumb as in the case of backscattering applies here as well: the larger  $|m/m_0|$ , the more restrictive is the size criterion on *D*. As soon as the particles contain water in any volume fraction, one should not calculate  $\sigma_{ext}$  by the above approximation, but with the exact formula (6).

#### 3.4 Backscattering and extinction by two-layered spheres

Today, exact solutions to the scattering problem of N-layered spheres are available which reduce to the classical Mie-formula for a simple sphere. Since some hydrometeors like melting hail (ice core, spongy ice/water-shell) can be well approximated by a two-layered sphere, formulas for backscattering- and extinction cross sections for this configuration are included into radar\_mielib as well.

As in the case of simple spheres, important parameters are the two Mie-parameters

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2\pi r_1 m_0}{\lambda_0} \quad ; \qquad \qquad \alpha_2 = \frac{2\pi r_2 m_0}{\lambda_0} \quad . \tag{8}$$

defined by the shell and core radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , resp., as well as the corresponding relative refractive indices  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  (see Figure 1).

Depending on these parameters, the scattering coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  can be calculated analytically and then plugged into equation (3) and (6). To this end, formulas for  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  given by, e.g., Kerker (1969)



Figure 1: Convention for the refractive indices  $m_0$ ,  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and for the radii  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  in the calculation of the scattering functions for a two-layered sphere.

are implemented into radar\_mielib (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER()) or, as a second alternative, an efficient subroutine making advantage of the recurrence relations for the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions given in the appendix of Bohren and Huffman (1983) is provided (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()). The rather long and cumbersome formulas for  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are omitted here. We refer the reader to the cited literature.

Note that numerical instabilities in calculating Bessel-functions by utilizing efficient recurrence relations (as is done here) are known to be problematic in case of large arguments to the Bessel functions. In the radar\_mielib-subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER(), backward recurrence for the Bessel and Hankel functions (programs taken from Zhang and Jin, 1996) are utilized, which is more stable than the forward recurrence implemented into subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH(). However, COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER() is slower than COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH(). Application of these routines to hydrometeor scattering in the microwave region should not be problematic, so both routines can be used.

#### 3.5 Refractive index of hydrometeors

Up to this point, general formulas for the computation of the backscatter- and extinction cross section of spherical particles were given. An important parameter herein is the complex refractive index of the particle material m = m' + im'' ( $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  for the two-layered sphere), which will be presented in the next sections. *m* is connnected to the relative dielectric constant  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i\varepsilon''$  by the relation  $\varepsilon = m^2$ . Note that in this paper the convection with negative imaginary part of *m* and  $\varepsilon$  is used which corresponds to a timefactor  $\exp(i\omega t)$  in the description of electromagnetic waves. Under the assumption  $\varepsilon'' < 0$ , m'' < 0the following conversion formulas apply:

$$\varepsilon' = m^{\prime 2} - m^{\prime \prime 2} \tag{9}$$

$$\varepsilon'' = 2m'm'' \tag{10}$$

$$m' = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon'^2 + \varepsilon''^2} + \varepsilon'}{2}} \tag{11}$$

$$m'' = -\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon'^2 + \varepsilon''^2} - \varepsilon'}{2}} \quad . \tag{12}$$

The last two equations define the principal arm of the complex root function, as it is implemented also in most programming languages.

Hydrometeors consist of air, ice and/or water, so values of m for these materials are needed. For air, m = 1 is a very good approximation. For ice and water, m basically depends on wavelength and temperature. With these values, pure water and ice particles can be treated. These are given in subsubsection 3.5.1 and 3.5.2.

Things get by far more complicated in the case of dry snow particles (mixture of ice and air) or melting snow particles (mixture of ice, air and water). Here the task is to find an effective value  $m_{eff}$ , which leads



Figure 2: Complex refractive index of water after Ray (1972) as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in m and temperature in °C (only his equations (5) and (6) are used). **Red:** real part, **blue:** negative imaginary part. Several curves are shown at constant temperatures of -10°C to 30°C in steps of 10°C.

to the same scattering functions when applied in the Mie-formulae that are observed for the mixture particle, whose scattering pattern highly depends on the topology of the mixture. This is a very difficult task, and consequently, there are a lot of mixing formulas on the market applicable to certain special situations. A general solution to the problem seems to be not existent. Moreover, mixing rules applicable for backscattering might not be applicable for extinction and vice versa (e.g., for granular mixtures with spatially inhomogeneous material distribution), since it is sometimes not possible to describe the directional scattering by a single value of  $m_{eff}$ .

In subsubsection 3.5.4 to subsubsection 3.5.6, some mixing formulas are presented which are currently used by the radar meteorological community for the mixture topologies encountered in dry and melting hydrometeors.

#### 3.5.1 Water

Two models (formulas) for the complex index of refraction of water,  $m_w$ , are implemented into radar\_mielib as functions. They give  $m_w$  as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  and temperature T. The first is the model of Ray (1972), which is applicable to the temperature range from  $-10^{\circ}$ C to  $30^{\circ}$ C and to the wavelength range of about 1 mm to 1 m. Figure 2 depicts the real and imaginary parts of  $m_w$  for this model as a function of  $\lambda_0$  and T. One clearly sees the anomaleous dispersion signal connected to a classical Debye relaxation mode in the microwave region. With higher frequency (shorter wavelength) the real part drops down reflecting an increase in propagation phase speed, whereas in the same frequency range, the imaginary part has a distinct maximum, reflecting strong absorption. The complete formulas of Ray (1972) also contain several rotational and absorption bands in the infrared region, parameterized as gaussian peaks, but this is omitted in the radar\_mielib function. Only the formulas (5) and (6) of Ray (1972) are used, which limits the applicability to the microwave region.

Another model is given by Liebe et al. (1991) with a slightly different application range, namely 300  $\mu$ m  $< \lambda_0 < 0.3$  m and  $-3^{\circ}C < T < 30^{\circ}C$ . Figure 3 shows the real and imaginary parts of  $m_w$  for this model. The prominent Debye relaxation mode is also present here, as it should.



Figure 3: Complex refractive index of water after Liebe et al. (1991) as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in m and temperature in °C. **Red:** real part, **blue:** negative imaginary part. Several curves are shown at constant temperatures of  $-10^{\circ}$ C to  $30^{\circ}$ C in steps of  $10^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 4: Comparison of the complex refractive index of water as function of wavelength in m at a temperature of 25°C for 3 different formulations. **Black:** Ray (1972), **blue:** Segelstein (1981), **red:** Liebe et al. (1991). **Solid lines:** real part, **Dashed lines:** imaginary part.



Figure 5: Complex refractive index of ice after Ray (1972) as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in m and temperature in °C. **Red, right ordinate:** real part. **Blue, left ordinate (logarithmic scale):** negative imaginary part. Several curves are shown at constant temperatures of -20°C, -10°C and 0°C.

A comparison of both models can be found in Figure 4 for a temperature of 25°C, together with a model based on measurements of Segelstein (1981). The latter is only available for the temperature of 25°C. Nearly no differences between these descriptions are visible the wavelength range 5 mm to 20 cm, so all descriptions equally can be used in the microwave region, all giving similar results. Looking at other temperatures, nearly no differences between Rays and Liebes model can be found for the wavelength range 1 cm to 10 cm. At larger wavelength, the imaginary part is larger for Rays model, whereas at shorter wavelengths, the opposite is found. Liebes model should be used for  $\lambda_0 < 1$  cm, whereas both models are applicable from 1 cm to 10 cm, and Rays model is better from 10 cm to 1 m.

#### 3.5.2 Ice

For the complex refractive index of ice,  $m_i$ , three models are available in radar\_mielib. The first is again a model of Ray (1972), from the same paper as previously the model for water. The corresponding real and imaginary parts of  $m_i$  are depicted in Figure 5 as functions of  $\lambda_o$  and T. The range of validity is given by the author to be 0.001 m  $< \lambda_0 < 10^7$  m and  $-20^{\circ}$ C  $< T < 0^{\circ}$ C. Ray (1972) deduced it from then available measurements from the literature, but not fulfilling the Kramers-Kronig-relations (see, e.g., Bohren and Huffman, 1983), as criticized, e.g., by Warren (1984). The use of this model is therefore not recommended.

As a second and better model, the descrete  $m_i$ -tables given by Warren (1984) are implemented, as shown in Figure 6. The author critically and carefully reviewed the existing measurements in literature, combined with own measurements to deduce his lookup tables. Here the Kramers-Kronig-relations are fulfilled. Values of  $m_i$  at intermediate values of  $\lambda_0$  and T are linearily interpolated. In the original paper, the range of validity is given as 45 nm  $< \lambda_0 < 8.6$  m and  $-60^{\circ}$ C  $< T < 0^{\circ}$ C.

Third, the formula of Mätzler (1998) is available (see Figure 7). The author gives the range of validity as  $0.1 \text{ mm} < \lambda_0 < 30 \text{ m}$  and  $-250^{\circ}\text{C} < T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ .



Figure 6: Complex refractive index of ice after Warren (1984) as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in m and temperature in °C. **Red, right ordinate:** real part. **Blue, left ordinate (logarithmic scale):** negative imaginary part. Several curves are shown at constant temperatures from -60°C to 0°C in steps of 10°C.



Figure 7: Complex refractive index of ice after Mätzler (1998) as a function of wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in m and temperature in °C. **Red, right ordinate:** real part. **Blue, left ordinate (logarithmic scale):** negative imaginary part. Several curves are shown at constant temperatures from -60°C to 0°C Section 3 in subsubsection. 3.5.2 TOC Index



Figure 8: Comparison of the complex refractive index of ice as function of wavelength in m at temperatures of -2°C (solid lines) and -20°C (dashed lines) for 3 different formulations. **Upper panel:** real part. **Lower panel:** imaginary part. **Black:** Ray (1972), **blue:** Warren (1984), **red:** Mätzler (1998).

While very similar for the real part of  $m_i$ , it can be seen from Figure 8 that especially the model of Ray (1972) predicts a totally different behaviour of the imaginary part of  $m_i$  with wavelength than the other two models. Here, the models of Warren (1984) and Mätzler (1998) are in qualitative agreement, but Mätzler (1998) gives lower values of the imaginary part up to a factor of 8 compared to Warren (1984). The author of this document feels not to be in a position to recommend using one or the other, but using the model of Ray (1972) is not recommended because of the abovementioned reasons.

#### 3.5.3 Mixtures of ice, air and water

In literature, many different formulations of the refractive index of a mixture material are presented termed "Effective Medium Approximations" (EMA). Mostly they have their origin in the field of physical chemistry. It is a very old concept dating back to the late 19th century but nevertheless still an actual topic in research. In the meteorological community dealing with radar measurements, mostly three formulations are used which are also part of radar\_mielib. These will be introduced in the following subsections.

The general problem is to find an effective value for the refractive index  $m_{eff}$  of a mixture material leading to the same scattering pattern when replacing the actual mixture particle by a homogeneous particle and measuring/ calculating the scattering functions by some theory using  $m_{eff}$ . Many theoretical and experimental attempts can be found in literature, but we cannot go into detail here. A starting point for further study could be the book of Bohren and Huffman (1983) or the article of shortciteNstroud1978.

It is immediately clear that such a technique inevitably gets complicated or leads to errors when applying it to particles which are not truly homogeneously mixed (mixture may have a macroscale topography) and/or when at least one of the mixture components is a strong dielectric like water. Some EMAs may be valid only for extinction or scattering in a certain direction depending on the method of their derivation.

#### 3.5.4 Mixtures of ice, air and water — Wieners formula

Otto Wiener (1912) presented a quite general theory for a homogeneous mixture of inclusions (grains, fibres, layers, etc.) of *N* materials which are embedded in a homogeneous background medium with dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_0$ . In case of spherical inclusions, he states

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{eff} - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_{eff} + f \varepsilon_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j \frac{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_j + f \varepsilon_0} \quad .$$
(13)

 $p_j$  denotes the relative volume fraction of the species j on the overall particle volume,

$$p_j = \frac{V_j}{V_m}$$
, where  $V_m$  = Volume of mixture particle (14)

and  $\varepsilon_j$  is its dielectric constant. Note that in fact N+1 materials are contained in the mixture! The parameter f in general depends on the shape, orientation, mutual distance and alignment within the mixture body. Let us denote the partial volume fraction of the background medium with  $p_0$  and the partial volume by  $V_0$ , then it is

$$p_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N p_j = 1$$
 and  $V_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j = V_m$  (15)

A good review of the original paper by Wiener is given by Lichtenecker (1926).

Formula (13) was derived under the assumption of a mixture of equally shaped and equally sized inclusions of N species into the background medium. In order to be applicable, one has to specify a value for the parameter f. Wiener (1912) showed that this can be accomplished under the following constraints:

- All inclusions have to be sufficiently separated so that the electromagnetic field inbetween can be regarded as homogeneous. This implies  $p_0 > 0$  (in fact  $p_0 \gg p_1, p_2$ ) so that the condition of large grain separation distances can be fullfilled.
- $\varepsilon_0$  has to be either the largest or the smallest value of all  $\varepsilon_x$  involved and it has to be significantly different to the adjacent  $\varepsilon_x$ .
- Isotropic distribution of the grains within the matrix medium.

Under these circumstances the parameter f depends largely only on the shape of the inclusions and attains the value 2 for spherical inclusions (Wiener then denotes f by "Formzahl" — shape number). A more detailed discussion of these constraints can be found in the original literature. However, since the classical derivations were done for real  $\varepsilon_x$ , it remains somehow unclear how to formulate these constraints for complex  $\varepsilon_x$ . Often a seemingly similar formula is used by the radarmeteorological community in a way to set  $\varepsilon_0 = 1$  (vacuum, air) (see e.g., the review article by Oguchi (1983)) and at the same time assuming that there is no contribution of the background medium to the particles volume ( $p_0 = 0$ ). The formula then reduces to an older formula (Lorenz-Lorentz, Clausius, Mosotti et others, see, e.g., Wiener, 1912 or Lichtenecker, 1926),

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{eff} - 1}{\varepsilon_{eff} + u} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \frac{\varepsilon_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j + u}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j = 1 \quad .$$
(16)

In the following, formula (16) is referred to as Oguchis<sup>1</sup> formula. Oguchi (1983) designates the parameter u as shape parameter, similar to f in equation (13), and states that in case of spherical inclusions u attains the value 2.

The problem with this formula is that in neglecting the partial volume of the background medium, the assumption of mutual "large enough" distances between the inclusions is violated and the formula seems not to be applicable in general. Debye (1929) therefore restricts the formula to weak dielectrics and to the case of molecular mixtures which he regards as suspended spheres (single atoms) in vacuum (same argumentation in Bohren and Huffman (1983)). It is clearly not applicable to a melting ice particle with low air contribution since water is a strong dielectric. This was also found experimentally by Joss and Aufdermaur (1965).

However, when the background medium within the particle is air ( $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ ) with a considerable volume fraction and the particle is a mixture of ice and air (dry snow flake, graupel), the formula might be applicable since ice is a weak dielectric. The mixing term containing the air volume in (16) then vanishes and a "classical" formula used by radar meteorologists for many years (e.g., Battan, 1973) is recovered (also by appropiately using formula (13), which corroborates the validity of (16) for this special case).

#### 3.5.5 Mixtures of ice, air and water — Maxwell-Garnetts formula

Maxwell-Garnetts formula (Maxwell Garnett, 1904) is a special case of a more general formulation, see Stroud (1975), in the limiting case of small spherical inclusions of random size suspended in a background medium ("matrix"), similar as is the Wiener-formula, except for the random size. (In fact, it will be shown later in this section to be exactly equal to Wieners formula in case of spherical inclusions.)

Bohren and Huffman (1983) derive the following formulation similar to the original paper of Maxwell Garnett (1904)

$$\varepsilon_{eff} = \frac{p_1 \varepsilon_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{N} p_j \beta_j \varepsilon_j}{p_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{N} p_j \beta_j}$$
(17)

$$\beta_j = \frac{3\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_j + 2\varepsilon_1} \quad , \tag{18}$$

where  $p_i$  denotes again the relative volume fraction of the species j on the overall particle volume,

$$p_j = \frac{V_j}{V_m}$$
, where  $V_m$  = Volume of mixture particle (19)

and  $\varepsilon_j$  is its dielectric constant. It is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j = 1$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Oguchi (1983) wrongly attributes equation (16) to Otto Wiener, whereas the latter showed in his 1912 paper, why and under what circumstances this formula is <u>not</u> applicable. Wiener derived equation (13) instead, among formulas for other mixing topologies.

in this case. Here, index 1 corresponds to the matrix medium and indices larger than 1 denote inclusion materials.

Bohren and Battan (1982) extended this model to spheroidal inclusions, which have random size, which are randomly oriented within the matrix medium and which have random principal axes ratios (shapes). For this, only the coefficients  $\beta_j$  have to be replaced by

$$\beta_j = \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_1} \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_1}\right) - 1 \right) \quad . \tag{20}$$

The complex logarithm denotes the principal arm. Note that this formulation is not invariant to a change in the matrix-species, but it is invariant to interchange the inclusions.

In case of a three-component mixture (as is the case with melting snow and graupel particles), formula (17) leads to numerous possibilities to specify matrix and inclusions. There are 3 possibilities to specify one material as matrix and the other two as inclusions. 12 additional possibilities arise by a two-fold application of a two-component mixture: one material is regarded as the matrix (3 possibilities), whereas the inclusion itself is regarded as a two-component mixture with 2 possibilities to specify matrix and inclusion. This leads to 6 possibilities. Changing further the roles of matrix and inclusion for the hosting material and the two-component sub-mixture, another 6 possibilities arise. Every possibility leads to different results. The degree of freedom (and the uncertainty about what to do) is therefore very large. In addition spherical and spheroidal inclusions can be discriminated, further doubling the number of possible choices.

An interesting comparison to the Wiener-formula can be made in rewriting equation (17) for spherical inclusions ( $\beta_j$  with equation (3.5.5)). After some manipulation,

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{eff} - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_{eff} + 2\varepsilon_1} = \sum_{j=2}^N p_j \frac{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_j + 2\varepsilon_1} \quad .$$
(21)

Changing the index convention to Wieners formula  $(1 \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow N - 1)$  leads to the formula

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{eff} - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_{eff} + 2\varepsilon_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j \frac{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_j + 2\varepsilon_0} \quad .$$
(22)

Now the species 1 to N are the inclusions and the species 0 is the matrix. Comparing to equation (13) with f = 2 (spherical inclusions), both formulas turn out to be exactly equal. Therefore, the same constraints concerning the applicability as for the Wiener-formula also apply to Maxwell-Garnetts fromula. In particular, in the case of  $p_1 \rightarrow 0$ , the matrix material vanishes and the Maxwell-Garnett formula might no longer be applicable. In this case, another formula might be better suited, which will be described in subsubsection 3.5.6. On the other hand, Wieners formula with f = 2 does not require the spherical inclusions to be all of equal size.

In recent studies, mainly the Maxwell-Garnett formulation with the assumption of randomly oriented and randomly shaped small spheroidal inclusions is preferred in the literature, but with different assumptions about matrix and inclusions.

#### 3.5.6 Mixtures of ice, air and water — Bruggemann formula

Another limiting case of the more general formulation of Stroud (1975) is the formula given by Bruggemann (1935),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \frac{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{eff}}{\varepsilon_j + 2\varepsilon_{eff}} = 0$$
(23)

$$p_j = \frac{V_j}{V_m}$$
, where  $V_m$  = Volume of mixture particle

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j = 1$$

It is applicable for a homogeneous mixture of subscale macroscopic granules of different materials (index *j*) in "dense packing" configuration without giving a special meaning to one of the component materials (Chýlek and Srivastava, 1983). In that sense, the mixing topology assumed for this formula might be regarded as the limiting case of vanishing matrix material (cf. end of subsubsection 3.5.5). It is based on an approximation of the Mie forward scattering function  $S_1(0) = S_2(0)$  for the single granules in that only the leading term with coefficient  $a_1$  in equation (3) is retained. The single granules therefore have to be very small compared to the wavelength. Formula (23) is invariant to interchanging the species, as is Oguchis formula (16).

The implicit equation (23) leads to the problem of finding the roots of a complex polynomial of order N in  $\varepsilon_{eff}$ . After the fundamental theorem of algebra there are exactly N complex roots. For  $N \le 3$  there exist analytical solutions (N = 3: Cardani's formula, e.g.), and for N > 3, these can be found, e.g., iteratively by Laguerre's method (Press et al., 2001).

However, only one of these roots has physical meaning and can be assigned to  $m_{eff} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{eff}}$ . Usually, the constraints of a negative imaginary part of  $\varepsilon_{eff}$  (by convention in radar\_mielib) together with the real part of  $\varepsilon_{eff}$  beeing inbetween the range of real parts of the  $\varepsilon_j$ , the physical meaningful root  $\varepsilon_{eff}$  can be identified, at least for a three-component mixture of ice, air and water, as is needed for our purpose.

## 4 Routines in radar\_mielib

To calculate  $Z_e$  by integration of equation (1) (Page 4), information about the particle size distribution and about the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  as function of particle type, size and degree of melting is needed, which in turn requires information about the effective particle refractive index  $m_{eff}$ . The theoretical basics for  $\sigma_b$  (one- and two-layered Mie scattering, Rayleigh approximation) and  $m_{eff}$  were briefly discussed in earlier sections, and, in particular, different EMA approximations for  $m_{eff}$  were summarized. Up to now and in the remainder of this document, the particle size distributions itself are not treated in detail, since their proper treatment depends strongly on the application: for a bin microphysical scheme resolving explicitly the size distributions of different hydrometeor types, no assumptions have to be drawn about it, whereas for a bulk microphysical scheme, usually a parametric function prototype ("master function" approach) is chosen whose free parameters are diagnosed from model variables. Therefore, the main focus of this document is on the properties of single particles, whereas the integration over the entire size distribution is left for the user.

Before we turn to more detailed investigations of the differences caused by applying different combinations of EMA formulations and melting models for single particles (one-layered or two-layered spheres, different mixing material assumptions) in subsequent sections, a complete list of subroutines and functions from radar\_mielib will be given in the following subsections providing tools for calculation of  $\sigma_b$  and  $m_{eff}$  of single particles.

Later in Section 5, the library radar\_mie\_lm is exemplified to show how these tools can be combined for reflectivity calculations in a mesoscale NWP-model. radar\_mie\_lm provides interface reflectivity calculation subroutines for the LM.

#### 4.1 Refractive index of pure ice and water

# 4.1.1 FUNCTION m\_complex\_water\_ray (lambda, T)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_water\_ray
DOUBLE PRECISION :: T, lambda

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of water after Ray (1972), see subsubsection 3.5.1 on Page 8. Valid for  $-10^{\circ}$ C < *T* < 30°C, 0.001 m <  $\lambda_0$  < 1 m.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
lambda	radar wavelength in m
Т	temperature in °C

#### Example:

mwater = m\_complex\_water\_ray(0.055, 10.0)

# 4.1.2 FUNCTION m\_complex\_water\_liebe (lambda, T)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_water\_liebe
DOUBLE PRECISION :: T, lambda

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of water after Liebe et al. (1991), see subsubsection 3.5.1 on Page 8. Valid for  $-3^{\circ}C < T < 30^{\circ}C$ , 0.0003 m  $< \lambda_0 < 0.3$  m.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
lambda	radar wavelength in m
Т	temperature in °C

```
mwater = m_complex_water_liebe(0.055, 10.0)
```

# 4.1.3 FUNCTION m\_complex\_ice\_ray (lambda, T)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_ice\_ray
DOUBLE PRECISION :: T, lambda

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of ice after Ray (1972), see subsubsection 3.5.2 on Page 10. Valid for  $-20^{\circ}$ C  $< T < 0^{\circ}$ C, 0.001 m  $< \lambda_0 < 10^7$ m.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
lambda	radar wavelength in m
Т	temperature in °C

#### **Example:**

mice =  $m_complex_ice_ray(0.055, -10.0)$ 

# 4.1.4 FUNCTION m\_complex\_ice\_warren (lambda, T)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_ice\_warren
DOUBLE PRECISION :: T, lambda

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of ice after Warren (1984), see subsubsection 3.5.2 on Page 10. Valid for -60°C < T < 0°C, 45 · 10<sup>-9</sup> m <  $\lambda_0 < 8.6$  m.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
lambda	radar wavelength in m
Т	temperature in °C

```
mice = m_complex_ice_warren(0.055, -10.0)
```

# 4.1.5 FUNCTION m\_complex\_ice\_maetzler (lambda, T)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_ice\_maetzler
DOUBLE PRECISION :: T, lambda

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of ice after Mätzler (1998), see subsubsection 3.5.2 on Page 10. Valid for  $-250^{\circ}$ C  $< T < 0^{\circ}$ C, 0.0001 m  $< \lambda_0 < 30$  m.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
lambda	radar wavelength in m
Т	temperature in °C

#### **Example:**

mice = m\_complex\_ice\_maetzler(0.055, -10.0)

#### 4.2 Refractive index of mixture materials

#### 4.2.1 FUNCTION m\_complex\_oguchi (vol1, vol2, vol3, m1, m2, m3, fehler)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m_complex_oguchi
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m1, m2, m3
DOUBLE PRECISION :: vol1, vol2, vol3
INTEGER, INTENT(out) :: fehler
```

#### **Description:**

Refractive index  $m_{eff}$  of a three-component mixture material after Oguchi (1983), see equation (16) in subsubsection 3.5.4 on Page 15.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
m1, m2, m3	complex index of refraction for the three mixture components 1, 2 and 3 $$
vol1, vol2, vol3	corresponding volume fractions (conform with $p_1$ , $p_2$ , $p_3$ from equation (16), $\sum p_j \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ )

#### **Output parameters:**

m_complex_oguchi	complex refractive index $m_{eff}$ of the mixture material, function result
fehler	error status (side effect): $0 = no$ error, $1 = error$ encountered

#### **Example:**

mmix = m\_complex\_oguchi(0.5, 0.3, 0.2, mwater, mice, mair, errorflag)

#### 4.2.2 FUNCTION m\_complex\_maxwellgarnett (vol1, vol2, vol3, m1, m2, m3, inclusionstring, fehler)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m_complex_maxwellgarnett
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m1, m2, m3
DOUBLE PRECISION :: vol1, vol2, vol3
CHARACTER(len=*) :: inclusionstring
INTEGER, INTENT(out) :: fehler
```

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of a three-component mixture material after Maxwell Garnett (1904), see subsubsection 3.5.5 on Page 15. Both formulas for spherical (equation (18)) and spheroidal (equation (20)) inclusions are implemented and can be chosen via a keyword parameter. The choice which material is the matrix and which are the inclusions is done via the order number of the material: No. 1 denotes the matrix, No. 2 and 3 represent the inclusions (see below).

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
m1, m2, m3	complex index of refraction for the three mixture components 1, 2 and 3. Index 1 denotes the matrix material and 2 and 3 represent the inclusions
vol1, vol2, vol3	corresponding volume fractions (conform with $p_1$ , $p_2$ , $p_3$ from equation (17), $\sum p_j \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ )
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

m_complex_maxwellgarnett	complex refractive index $m_{eff}$ of the mixture material, function result
fehler	error status (side effect): $0 = no$ error, $1 = error$ encountered

```
mmix = m_complex_maxwellgarnett(0.5, 0.3, 0.2, mwater, mice, mair, 'spheroidal', e
```

### 4.2.3 FUNCTION m\_complex\_bruggemann (volair, volice, volwater, mair, mice, mwater, fehler)

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: m\_complex\_bruggemann
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: mair, mice, mwater
DOUBLE PRECISION :: volair, volice, volwater
INTEGER, INTENT(out) :: fehler

#### **Description:**

Refractive index of a three-component mixture of air, ice and water after Bruggemann (1935), see equation (23) in subsubsection 3.5.6 on Page 16.

#### Note: This routine is especially designed for mixtures of air, ice and water!

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
mair, mice, mwater	complex index of refraction for air, ice and water
volair, volice, volwater	corresponding volume fractions (conform with $p_1$ , $p_2$ , $p_3$ from equation (23), $\sum p_i \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ )

#### **Output parameters:**

m_complex_bruggemann	complex refractive index $m_{eff}$ of the mixture material, function result
fehler	error status (side effect): $0 = no$ error, $1 = error$ encountered

```
mmix = m_complex_bruggemann(0.5, 0.3, 0.2, mwater, mice, mair, errorflag)
```

#### 4.2.4 FUNCTION get\_m\_mix (m\_a, m\_i, m\_w, volair, volice, volwater, mixingrulestring,

```
matrixstring, inclusionstring, fehler)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp) :: get_m_mix
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_a, m_i, m_w
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: volair, volice, volwater
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
INTEGER, INTENT(out) :: fehler
```

#### **Description:**

Interface routine to the basic functions m\_complex\_oguchi(), m\_complex\_maxwellgarnett() and m\_complex\_bruggemann() for a three-component mixture. Three keyword parameter strings determine, which mixingrule and, if necessary, which matrix material should be chosen.

 Note: This routine is especially designed for mixtures of air, ice and water!

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
m_a, m_i, m_w	complex index of refraction for air, ice and water, resp.
volair, volice, volwater	corresponding volume fractions (conform with $p_1$ , $p_2$ , $p_3$ from equation (23), $\sum p_j \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ )
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett() 'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material: 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water</pre>
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

get_m_mix	complex refractive index $m_{eff}$ of the mixture material, function result
fehler	error status (side effect): $0 = no$ error, $1 = error$ encountered

#### **Example:**

```
4.2.5 FUNCTION get_m_mix_nested
     (m_a, m_i, m_w, volair, volice, volwater, mixingrulestring,
    hoststring, matrixstring, inclusionstring, hostmatrixstring,
    hostinclusionstring, kumfehler)
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                 :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp)
                                 :: get_m_mix_nested
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_a, m_i, m_w
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: volair, volice, volwater
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: hoststring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: inclusionstring
CHARACTER (len=*), INTENT (in)
                                 :: hostmatrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: hostinclusionstring
INTEGER, INTENT(out)
                                 :: kumfehler
```

#### **Description:**

Interface routine to the basic functions m\_complex\_oguchi(), m\_complex\_maxwellgarnett() and m\_complex\_bruggemann() for a three-component mixture. The difference to get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4) is that, once a particular mixing rule is chosen, additionally a twofold mixing of two two-component mixtures is possible. This offers for the Maxwell-Garnett rule 30 different choices in total. If this twofold mixing is specified, first  $m_{eff}$  of a mixture of two of the three components is calculated ("inner" mixing material), and later, this effective medium is mixed with the remaining third compontent ("outer" mixture). Note that the twofold application of two two-component mixtures with different mixing rules is not implemented so far. In case of Bruggemann- and Oguchi-rule, it does not make any difference if choosing the three-component or twofold two-component mixing.

Six keyword parameter strings determine, which mixingrule and (if necessary) if twofold two-component or three-component mixture with which matrix material(s) should be chosen (see below for details). Note: This routine is especially designed for mixtures of air, ice and water!

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
m_a, m_i, m_w	complex index of refraction for air, ice and water, resp.
volair, volice, volwater	corresponding volume fractions (conform with $p_1$ , $p_2$ , $p_3$ from equation (23), $\sum p_j \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ )
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett() 'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
hoststring	Determines which material should be the hosting ("outer") material in a twofold two-component mixture or if a three- component mixture should be chosen: 'none' — onefold three-component mixture 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water

matrixstring	<pre>In case of twofold two-component mixture (hoststring ≠ 'none') and Maxwell-Garnett mi- xing rule, choice of the matrix material of the "inner" mixture: 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water (not equal to hoststring!)</pre>
	<pre>In case of three-component mixture (hoststring = 'none'), the usual choice of the matrix material: 'air' - air 'ice' - ice 'water' - water</pre>
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed (in case of twofold two-component mixture, for the "inner" mixture): 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions
hostmatrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett' and twofold two-component mixture, choice of the matrix material of the "outer" mixture (no meaning in case of hoststring = 'none'): 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water 'airice' — air/ice-mixture 'airwater' — air/water-mixture 'icewater' — ice/water-mixture</pre>
hostinclusionstring	In case of twofold two-component mixture, for the "outer" mix- ture, a string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclu- sions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

Note that not all combinations of these six keyword parameter strings does make sense. Nonsense combinations will lead to an error ( $m_{eff} = -999.99$ ), a corresponding error message and kumfehler  $\neq 0$ .

#### **Output parameters:**

get_m_mix	complex refractive index $m_{eff}$ of the mixture material, function result
kumfehler	error status (side effect): $0 = no error$ , $1 = error encountered$

#### 4.3 Backscattering cross section for single precipitation particles

#### 4.3.1 SUBROUTINE MIE\_DRY\_GRAUPEL

```
(x_g,a_geo,b_geo,m_i,lambda,C_back, mixingrulestring, matrixstring,
inclusionstring)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering) of a dry graupel/snow/hail particle, assumed as a **sphere of homogeneous ice-air mixture material**. The particle bulk density is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. mixingrulestring, matrixstring and inclusionstring determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particles, which is done by function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2).

For relatively small particles, the Rayleigh approximation can be applied instead by using subroutine RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() (subsubsection 4.3.2).

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_g	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett() 'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material: 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water (does not make sense here)</pre>
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

# 4.3.2 SUBROUTINE RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL (x\_g,a\_geo,b\_geo,m\_i,lambda,C\_back, mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Rayleigh approximation) of a dry graupel/snow/hail particle, assumed as a **sphere of homogeneous ice-air mixture material**. The particle bulk density is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. mixingrulestring, matrixstring and inclusionstring determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particles, which is done by function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2).

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_g	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett() 'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material: 'air' — air 'ice' — ice 'water' — water (does not make sense here)</pre>
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### 4.3.3 SUBROUTINE MIE\_DRYHAIL (x\_h,a\_geo,b\_geo,m\_i,lambda,C\_back)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_h, lambda, a_geo, b_geo
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering) of a dry hail particle, assumed as a **homogeneous ice sphere**. It is an approximation to MIE\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() (subsubsection 4.3.1) in the sense that although the particle bulk density is permitted to deviate from pure ice, but solid ice is assumed for the particles refractive index ( $m_i$ ). The purpose of this is to save computation time in case of high density ice particles. Therefore, this routine is only applicable to particle types whose coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  of the inverse mass-size-relation (40) represent nearly solid ice particles.

For smaller particles, the Rayleigh approximation can be applied by subroutine RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL instead (subsubsection 4.3.2).

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp l	kind parameter for double precision
x_h	Mass of hail particle in kg
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m

#### **Output parameters:**

C_back	Backscattering cross section $\sigma_b$ in m <sup>2</sup>

#### **Example:**

CALL MIE\_DRYHAIL(2.3e-3, a\_geo, b\_geo, m\_i, 0.055, C\_back)

#### 4.3.4 SUBROUTINE MIE\_DRYSNOW

(x\_s,a\_geo,b\_geo,m\_i,lambda,C\_back, mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_s, lambda, a_geo, b_geo
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering) of a dry graupel/snow/hail particle, assumed as a **sphere of homogeneous ice-air mixture material**. The particle bulk density is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. mixingrulestring, matrixstring and inclusionstring determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particles, which is done by function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2).

For smaller particles, the Rayleigh approximation can be applied by using subroutine RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL instead (subsubsection 4.3.2).

The only difference to subroutine MIE\_DRY\_GRAUPEL (subsubsection 4.3.1) is that a equivalent spherical diameter threshold of  $10^{-12}$  m is used instead of  $10^{-6}$  m below which  $C_{back}$  is set to 0 to save computation time.

#### Input parameters:

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_s	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule:</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material:     'air' — air     'ice' — ice     'water' — water (does not make sense here)</pre>
inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

4.3.5 SUBROUTINE MIE\_DRYSNOW\_TWOSPH

```
(x_s,a_geo,b_geo,m_i,radienverh,lambda,C_back, mixingrulestring_shell,
matrixstring_shell, inclusionstring_shell, mixingrulestring_core,
matrixstring_core, inclusionstring_core)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                 :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                 :: x_s, lambda, a_geo, b_geo
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                 :: radienverh
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: mixingrulestring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: matrixstring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: inclusionstring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: mixingrulestring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: matrixstring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: inclusionstring_core
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out)
                                 :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering) of a dry snowflake, assumed as a **two-layered sphere** of homogeneous ice-air mixture material in each layer, the core having higher bulk density than the shell (see Subsection 6.2). The overall particle bulk density is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. Note that  $b_{geo}$  has to represent a decreasing bulk density with size.

To model a snowflake by a two-layered sphere with a denser core and a less dense shell, additional information is necessary to assign the different bulk densities to core and shell, preserving overall particle mass. To this end, as additional parameter, the ratio of the inner to the outer sphere radius, radienverh, has to be specified with a value between 0 and 1. With this parameter, the procedure discribed later in Subsection 6.2 on Page 66 is applied to assign different bulk densities to core and shell.

Further, the input parameters mixingrulestring\_shell/ mixingrulestring\_core, matrixstring\_shell/ matrixstring\_core and inclusionstring\_shell/ inclusionstring\_core determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particles shell/ core, which is done by function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2).

This routine uses the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) for the backscattering cross section of a two-layered sphere (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()), see Subsection 3.4.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
X_S	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
radienverh	Ratio of the inner to the outer sphere radius
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring_shell/ mixingrulestring_core	<pre>String constants to choose the mixing rule:</pre>
matrixstring_shell/	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett',</pre>
------------------------	--
matrixstring_core	choice of the matrix material:
	'air' — air
	'ice' — ice
	'water' — water (does not make sense here)
inclusionstring_shell/	String constants to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions
inclusionstring_core	should be assumed:
	'spherical' — spherical inclusions
	'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Example:**

```
CALL MIE_DRYSNOW_TWOSPH(3.4e-5, a_geo, b_geo, m_i, 0.055, C_back, &
    'maxwellgarnett', 'air', 'spheroidal', &
    'maxwellgarnett', 'ice', 'spheroidal')
```

#### 4.3.6 SUBROUTINE MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETHAIL (x\_h,fmelt,m\_i,m\_w,lambda,C\_back)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_h, fmelt, lambda
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i, m_w
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  of a melting hail particle, assumed as a solid spherical ice core with spherical water coating, calculated by the two-sphere Mie-formulae. Here, the formulae of Kerker (1969) are applied (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER()), see Subsection 3.4.

To speed up computation (but with some loss of stability), the **subroutine MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETHAIL\_BH()** can be used instead with exactly the same input- and output parameters. This routine utilizes the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()) instead of Kerker (1969), see Subsection 3.4.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_h	Mass of hail particle in kg
fmelt	degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_h$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle)
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
m_w	Complex refractive index of water
lambda	Radar wavelength in m

#### **Output parameters:**

C_back	Backscattering cross section $\sigma_b$ in m <sup>2</sup>
C_back	Backscattering cross section $\sigma_b$ in m <sup>2</sup>

#### Example:

CALL MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETHAIL(2.3e-3, 0.7, m\_i, m\_w, 0.055, C\_back)

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in Subsection 7.18 on Page 98.

# 4.3.7 SUBROUTINE MIE\_SPONGY\_WETHAIL (x\_h,fmelt,f\_water,m\_i,m\_w,lambda,C\_back, mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_h, fmelt, f_water, lambda
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_i, m_w
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  of a melting hail particle, assumed as a **solid spherical ice core with a spherical coating of a "spongy" ice-water mixture**, calculated by the two-sphere Mie-formulae. Here, the formulae of Kerker (1969) are applied (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER()), see Subsection 3.4. The mass fraction of water (f\_water) in the outer spongy shell has to be preset and is held fixed throughout the melting process, as long as the inner ice core is not completely melted. After the inner core has completely vanished, f\_water is increased automatically to represent now the melted fraction of the remaining homogeneous spherical particle.

It seems reasonable to assume larger values of f\_water during later melting stages (larger fmelt) than for earlier melting stages (small fmelt).

To speed up computation (but with some loss of stability), the **subroutine MIE\_SPONGY\_WETHAIL\_BH()** can be used instead with exactly the same input- and output parameters. This routine utilizes the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()) instead of Kerker (1969), see Subsection 3.4.

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_h	Mass of hail particle in kg
fmelt	Degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_h$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle)
f_water	Mass fraction of water in the outer spongy shell $(x_{water}/(x_{ice} + x_{water}))$
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
m_w	Complex refractive index of water
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule for the ice-water shell: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett() 'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material for the ice-water shell: 'air' — air (does not make sense here) 'ice' — ice 'water' — water</pre>

#### **Input parameters:**

inclusionstring	A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed for the ice-water shell:
	<pre>'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions</pre>

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Example:**

```
CALL MIE_SPONGY_WETHAIL(2.3e-3, 0.7, 0.5, m_i, m_w, 0.055, C_back)
```

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in Subsection 7.17 on Page 97.

# 4.3.8 SUBROUTINE MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETGR (x\_g,a\_geo,b\_geo,fmelt,m\_w,m\_i,lambda,C\_back, mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering, two-layered sphere) of a melting graupel particle, assumed as a **two-layered sphere composed of a homogeneous ice-air mixture core and a spherical water shell**. The bulk density (ice mass per particle volume) of the original unmelted particle is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. fmelt denotes the melted mass fraction or the degree of melting,  $f_{melt}$ , resp. It is assumed that the particle melts entirely at the outside of the ice-air core and that the meltwater is accumulated in a spherical shell. mixingrulestring, matrixstring and inclusionstring determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particle ice-air core, which is done by function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2). Such a melting model should be applicable to relatively high-density graupel and/ or at later melting stages (fmelt > approx. 0.5).

For the calculation of the two-sphere backscattering cross section, the formulae of Kerker (1969) are applied (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER()), see Subsection 3.4.

To speed up computation (but with some loss of stability), the **subroutine MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETGR\_BH()** can be used instead with exactly the same input- and output parameters. This routine utilizes the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()) instead of Kerker (1969), see Subsection 3.4.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_g	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
fmelt	Degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_g$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle)
m_w	Complex refractive index of water
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring	<pre>A string constant to choose the mixing rule for the ice-air core:</pre>
matrixstring	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material for the ice-air core: 'air' — air 'ice' — ice</pre>
Section 4 Subsection 4.3 TOC	Index' — water (does not make sense here) 41

inclusionstring A string constant to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions
should be assumed for the ice-air core:
' spherical' — spherical inclusions
' spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### Example:

```
CALL MIE_WATERSPH_WETGR(2.3e-3, a_geo, b_geo, & 0.45, m_w, m_i, 0.055, C_back, & 'maxwellgarnett', 'ice', 'spheroidal')
```

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in subsequent sections for the 4 different inverse mass-size-relations given in Subsection 6.1. These are:

- for inverse mass-size-relation (36) in Subsection 7.9 on Page 85,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (37) in Subsection 7.10 on Page 87,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (38) in Subsection 7.11 on Page 89,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (39) in Subsection 7.12 on Page 91.

```
4.3.9 SUBROUTINE MIE_SOAK_TWOSPH_WETGR
  (x_g,a_geo,b_geo,fmelt,m_w,m_i,lambda,C_back, mixingrulestring_iceair,
   matrixstring_iceair, inclusionstring_iceair, mixingrulestring_icewater,
   matrixstring_icewater, inclusionstring_icewater)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in) :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: matrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: inclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out) :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering, two-layered sphere) of a melting graupel/snow particle, assumed as a **two-layered sphere composed of a homogeneous ice-air mixture core and a spherical ice-water mixture shell**. The bulk density (ice mass per particle volume) of the original unmelted particle is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. fmelt denotes the melted mass fraction or the degree of melting,  $f_{melt}$ , resp. It is assumed that the particle melts entirely on its outside and that the meltwater is soaked into the particle, displacing the air inclusions entirely from the outside. In this way, a spherical ice-water mixture shell forms around the ice-air core, growing to the inside with growing melt fraction, up to the melt fraction where the particle is completely soaked and the core has vanished. For a larger melt fraction, the particle is assumed as a sphere of homogeneous ice-water mixture.

Such a melting model should be applicable to graupel with intermediate density at all melting stages or to high-density graupel during an early melting stage (fmelt < approx. 0.5).

mixingrulestring\_iceair/ mixingrulestring\_icewater, matrixstring\_iceair/ matrixstring\_icewater and inclusionstring\_iceair/inclusionstring\_icewater determine the effective medium approximation used to calculate the effective refractive index of the particle ice-air core/ ice-water shell. Both calculations are performed using the function get\_m\_mix (Subsection 4.2).

For the calculation of the two-sphere backscattering cross section, the formulae of Kerker (1969) are applied (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER()), see Subsection 3.4.

To speed up computation (but with some loss of stability), the **subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPH\_WETGR\_BH()** can be used instead with exactly the same input- and output parameters. This routine utilizes the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()) instead of Kerker (1969), see Subsection 3.4.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_g	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
fmelt	Degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_g$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle)
mw	Complex refractive index of water
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m

<pre>mixingrulestring_iceair/ mixingrulestring_icewater</pre>	<pre>String constants to choose the mixing rule for the ice-air core/ ice-water shell: 'oguchi' — m_complex_oguchi(), spherical inclusi- ons 'maxwellgarnett' — m_complex_maxwellgarnett()</pre>
	<pre>'bruggemann' — m_complex_bruggemann()</pre>
<pre>matrixstring_iceair/ matrixstring_icewater</pre>	<pre>In case of mixingrulestring = 'maxwellgarnett', choice of the matrix material for the ice-air core/ ice-water shell: 'air' — air (not for matrixstring_icewater) 'ice' — ice 'water' — water (not for matrixstring_iceair)</pre>
<pre>inclusionstring_iceair/ inclusionstring_icewater</pre>	String constants to choose if spherical or spheroidal inclusions should be assumed for the ice-air core/ ice-water shell: 'spherical' — spherical inclusions 'spheroidal' — spheroidal inclusions

#### **Output parameters:**

### Example:

```
CALL MIE_SOAK_TWOSPH_WETGR(2.3e-3, a_geo, b_geo, & 0.45, m_w, m_i, 0.055, C_back, & 'maxwellgarnett', 'ice', 'spheroidal', & 'maxwellgarnett', 'water', 'spheroidal')
```

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in subsequent sections for the 4 different inverse mass-size-relations given in Subsection 6.1. These are:

- for inverse mass-size-relation (36) in Subsection 7.5 on Page 77,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (37) in Subsection 7.6 on Page 79,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (38) in Subsection 7.7 on Page 81,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (39) in Subsection 7.8 on Page 83.

#### 4.3.10 SUBROUTINE MIE\_MEAN\_WETGR

(x\_g,a\_geo,b\_geo,fmelt,m\_w,m\_i,lambda,C\_back, mixingrulestring\_iceair, matrixstring\_iceair, inclusionstring\_iceair, mixingrulestring\_icewater, matrixstring\_icewater, inclusionstring\_icewater)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                 :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                 :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in) :: mixingrulestring_iceair
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                :: matrixstring_iceair
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: inclusionstring_iceair
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                :: mixingrulestring_icewater
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                :: matrixstring icewater
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: inclusionstring_icewater
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out)
                                :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  of a melting graupel particle (Mie-scattering, two-layered sphere model), assumed as melt fraction weighted mean of the backscattering coefficients calculated with the subroutines MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETGR() (subsubsection 4.3.8) and MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPH\_WETGR() (subsubsection 4.3.9):

$$C_{back} = f_{melt} C_{watersphere} + (1.0 - f_{melt}) C_{soak_t wosphere}$$

In this way, the melting particle is assumed to soak the meltwater during the early stage of melting and to accumulate more and more meltwater on the outside at later melting stages.

mixingrulestring\_iceair, matrixstring\_iceair and inclusionstring\_iceair determine the choice of the effective medium approximation for the two-component ice-air core in subroutine MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETGR() as well as in subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPH\_WETGR(). mixingrulestring\_icewater,matrixstring\_icewater and inclusionstring\_icewater do the same for the ice-water shell in MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPH\_WETGR().

#### Input- and output parameters are virtually the same as in subsubsection 4.3.9 on Page 43!

#### **Example:**

```
CALL MIE_MEAN_WETGR(2.3e-3, a_geo, b_geo, &
0.45, m_w, m_i, 0.055, C_back, &
'maxwellgarnett', 'ice', 'spheroidal', &
'maxwellgarnett', 'water', 'spheroidal')
```

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

Not shown in the present document.

#### 4.3.11 SUBROUTINE MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR

```
(x_g,a_geo,b_geo,fmelt,meltratio_outside,m_w,m_i,lambda,C_back,
mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring, hoststring,
hostmatrixstring, hostinclusionstring)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                    :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                    :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                    :: meltratio_outside
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                    :: matrixstring
                                   :: inclusionstring
                                   :: hoststring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                    :: hostmatrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                   :: hostinclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out)
                                   :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscatter cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering) of a melting graupel/snow particle, assumed as a **sphere composed of a homogeneous ice-water-air mixture material**. The bulk density (ice mass per particle volume) of the original unmelted particle is derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape. fmelt denotes the melted mass fraction or the degree of melting,  $f_{melt}$ , resp.

It is assumed that the particle melts partly on the outside and on the inside, within the ice structure, and that the meltwater is completely soaked and distributed homogeneously within the particle, displacing the air inclusions. The fraction of meltwater coming from the outside has to be preselected as input parameter meltratio\_outside (between 0 and 1), which is the ratio when melting starts ( $f_{melt} = 0$ ). Choosing meltratio\_outside < 1 leads to larger particles compared to meltratio\_outside = 1.

If  $f_{melt}$  is large enough (depending on bulk density and the actual meltratio\_outside, see below), the meltwater completely fills the ice structure. Now the ice core melts homogeneously and the particle is assumed to be a homogeneous mixture of ice and water.

However, if meltratio\_outside is sufficiently small, it could happen that the entire ice skeleton would be melted before all the air inclusions are filled with meltwater. Such particle would be unstable and splash into pieces sooner or later. To avoid this unrealistic asymptotic behaviour, the actual value of meltratio\_outside increases linearily with growing  $f_{melt}$  from its value at  $f_{melt} = 0$  (input parameter) to a value of 1 for  $f_{melt} = 1$ . In this way, the particle in any case converges to a water drop for  $f_{melt} \rightarrow 1$ .

Once the volume fractions of ice, water and air are derived based on the above assumptions, the effective refractive index of the particle is calculated by the function get\_m\_mix\_nested, subsubsection 4.2.5. By proper choice of the input parameters mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring, hoststring, hostmatrixstring and hostinclusionstring, the application of many different EMAs is possible, see subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27. Mie scattering is finally applied to calculate the backscattering cross section.

For small particles, the Rayleigh approximation can be applied instead to speed up the computation, by using subroutine RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGR() instead (subsubsection 4.3.12).

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
x_g	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
46	Section 4 Subsection 4.3 TOC Index

fmelt	Degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_g$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle)
meltratio_outside	Subpart ratio of the meltwater mass $x_w$ which melts on the outside of the particle when melting starts ( $f_{melt} = 0$ ). Value between 0 and 1. The complementary subpart of $x_w$ is assumed to melt within the ice structure. The actual value depends on $f_{melt}$ and increases linearily to 1 for $f_{melt} = 1$ .
m_w	Complex refractive index of water
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring, hoststring hostmatrixstring, hostinclusionstring	<pre>see subroutine get_m_mix_nested(), subsubsection 4.2.5. ,</pre>

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Example:**

```
CALL MIE_SOAK_WETGR(2.3e-3, a_geo, b_geo, &
0.45, 0.7, m_w, m_i, 0.055, C_back, &
'maxwellgarnett', 'water', 'spheroidal', &
'air', 'icewater', 'spheroidal')
```

### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in subsequent sections for the 4 different inverse mass-size-relations given in Subsection 6.1. These are:

- for inverse mass-size-relation (36) in Subsection 7.13 on Page 93,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (37) in Subsection 7.14 on Page 94,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (38) in Subsection 7.15 on Page 95,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (39) in Subsection 7.16 on Page 96.

4.3.12 SUBROUTINE RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGR

```
(x_g,a_geo,b_geo,fmelt,meltratio_outside,m_w,m_i,lambda,C_back,
mixingrulestring, matrixstring, inclusionstring, hoststring,
hostmatrixstring, hostinclusionstring)
```

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                    :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                    :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT (in)
                                    :: meltratio_outside
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: mixingrulestring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                   :: matrixstring
                                   :: inclusionstring
                                   :: hoststring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                    :: hostmatrixstring
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                   :: hostinclusionstring
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(out)
                                   :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscatter cross section  $\sigma_b$  according to Rayleigh approximation of a melting graupel/snow particle, assumed as a **sphere composed of a homogeneous ice-water-air mixture material**. This subroutine uses the same principles to determine the effective refractive index of the melting particle as MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR() except that the backscattering cross section is calculated applying Rayleigh approximation instead of Mie-scattering. See subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR() in subsubsection 4.3.11 for further details.

#### Input- and output parameters the same as in subsubsection 4.3.11 on Page 46!

#### Example:

```
CALL MIE_SOAK_WETGR(2.3e-3, a_geo, b_geo, &
0.45, 0.7, m_w, m_i, 0.055, C_back, &
'maxwellgarnett', 'water', 'spheroidal', &
'air', 'icewater', 'spheroidal')
```

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in subsequent sections for the 4 different inverse mass-size-relations given in Subsection 6.1. These are:

- for inverse mass-size-relation (36) in Subsection 7.1 on Page 69,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (37) in Subsection 7.2 on Page 71,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (38) in Subsection 7.3 on Page 73,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (39) in Subsection 7.4 on Page 75.

```
4.3.13 SUBROUTINE MIE_WETSNOW_TWOSPH
```

(x\_s,a\_geo,b\_geo,fmelt,meltingratio\_outside,m\_w,m\_i,lambda,radienverh,C\_ba mixingrulestring\_shell, matrixstring\_shell, inclusionstring\_shell, mixingrulestring\_core, matrixstring\_core, inclusionstring\_core, hoststring\_shell, hostmatrixstring\_shell, hostinclusionstring\_shell, hoststring\_core, hostmatrixstring\_core, hostinclusionstring\_core)

```
INTEGER, PARAMETER
                                  :: kinddp = KIND(1.0d0)
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                  :: x_g, lambda, a_geo, b_geo, fmelt
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                  :: meltingratio_outside
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT(in)
                                  :: radienverh
COMPLEX(kind=kinddp), INTENT(in) :: m_w, m_i
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: mixingrulestring_shell
CHARACTER (len=*), INTENT (in)
                                  :: matrixstring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: inclusionstring_shell
CHARACTER (len=*), INTENT (in)
                                  :: hoststring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: hostmatrixstring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: hostinclusionstring_shell
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: mixingrulestring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: matrixstring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: inclusionstring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                 :: hoststring_core
CHARACTER (len=*), INTENT (in)
                                  :: hostmatrixstring_core
CHARACTER(len=*), INTENT(in)
                                  :: hostinclusionstring_core
DOUBLE PRECISION, INTENT (out)
                                  :: C_back
```

#### **Description:**

Backscatter cross section  $\sigma_b$  (Mie-scattering, two-layered sphere) of a melting soaked graupel/snow particle, assumed as a spherical core of a homogeneous ice-water-air mixture material and a shell of a similar ice-water-air mixture material but with lower bulk density.

This subroutine uses the same principles to determine the effective refractive index of the melting particle as MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR(), applied to core and shell. A further input parameter is the ratio between the outer and inner sphere radii, radienverh. The initial masses of the unmelted core and shell are derived from the inverse mass-size-relation (40) with coefficients  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  assuming spherical shape, in a similar way as in subroutine MIE\_DRYSNOW\_TWOSPH(), subsubsection 4.3.5. See subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR() in subsubsection 4.3.11 for further details. Note that the input parameter meltratio\_outside from MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR() is termed meltingratio\_outside here.

To calculate the backscattering coefficient of the two-layered spherical particle, the formulae of Bohren and Huffman (1983) are applied (subroutine COATEDSPHERE\_SCATTER\_BH()), see Subsection 3.4.

#### **Input parameters:**

kinddp	kind parameter for double precision
X_S	Mass of particle in kg (without air fraction)
a_geo	Coefficient $a_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
b_geo	Coefficient $b_{geo}$ of the inverse mass-size-relation (40)
fmelt	Degree of melting, $f_{melt} = x_w/x_s$ ( $x_w$ = mass of water contained in the particle). Same value for core and shell.
meltingratio_outside	Subpart ratio of the meltwater mass $x_w$ which melts on the outside of the particle when melting starts ( $f_{melt} = 0$ ). Value between 0 and 1. The complementary subpart of $x_w$ is assumed to melt within the ice structure. The actual value depends on $f_{melt}$ and increases linearily to 1 for $f_{melt} = 1$ . Same value for core and
Section 4 Subsection 4.3 TOC	Indieti. 49

radienverh	Ratio of the inner to the outer sphere radius
m_w	Complex refractive index of water
m_i	Complex refractive index of ice
lambda	Radar wavelength in m
<pre>mixingrulestring_shell, matrixstring_shell, inclusionstring_shell, hoststring_shell, hostmatrixstring_shell, hostinclusionstring_shell</pre>	Parameters determining the effective refractive index of the shell ice-water-air mixture material, see subroutine get_m_mix_nested(), subsubsection 4.2.5.
<pre>mixingrulestring_core, matrixstring_core, inclusionstring_core, hoststring_core, hostmatrixstring_core, hostinclusionstring_core</pre>	Parameters determining the effective refractive index of the core ice-water-air mixture material, see subroutine get_m_mix_nested(), subsubsection 4.2.5.

#### **Output parameters:**

C\_back

Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Example:**

#### **Example sensitivity studies:**

The dependence of  $\sigma_b$  on the degree of melting and on particle size for a specific temperature of  $T = 5^{\circ}$ C is studied in detail in subsequent sections for the 2 different inverse mass-size-relations for snow given in Subsection 6.1. These are:

- for inverse mass-size-relation (38) in Subsection 7.19 on Page 99,
- for inverse mass-size-relation (39) in Subsection 7.20 on Page 129.

# 5 radar\_mie\_1m: Interface functions for the LM

As previously mentioned, the library radar\_mie\_lm provides interface routines for the LM to calculate  $Z_e$  as a sum of Integrals of the form equation (1) (Page 4) over the different hydrometeor types which are implemented into the LM microphysical schemes (cloud droplets, rain, cloud ice, snow, graupel and, depending on the scheme, hail). These interface functions call the subroutines for the basic particle properties  $m_{eff}$  and  $\sigma_b$ , which are provided by radar\_mielib and were previously described in Section 4. For the particle size distributions, generalized gamma distributions are assumed for all hydrometeor types, which is consistent with the assumption in the bulk microphysical schemes of the LM. The parameters of these size distributions are derived from model variables (mass density, and in case of two-moment scheme, number density) consistent to the assumptions drawn for the applied microphysical scheme. Note that the exponential and gamma size distribution are a special case of the generatilzed gamma distribution.

For Mie-scattering as well as for Rayleigh approximation with general EMA approximation, the integration of  $Z_e$  is done over a finite size interval  $[D_{min}; D_{max}]$  beeing prescribed in a reasonable way within the interface routines and beeing generally different for each hydrometeor category. There are also two much more efficient approaches implemented in radar\_mielib utilizing Rayleigh approximation together with Oguchis EMA approximation, which enables analytical solutions of the reflectivity integral (see Subsection 5.2).

The degree of melting  $f_{melt}$  (melted mass fraction) of the single particles is estimated as a function of size and ambient temperature by a parameterization which will be presented in Subsection 5.1. Two important parameters of this parameterization,  $T_{min}$  and  $f_{meltbegin}$  (see Subsection 5.1), are also specified in the interface routines in a reasonable way, but can certainly be changed by the user. Note that the estimated degree of melting can only be regarded as a very simplified and uncertain approximation since important information is not available for a more thorough description, e.g., the temperature and riming rate along the particle backward trajectories (the "melting history"). See Subsection 5.1 for a more detailed description.

The user can choose by appropriate settings of certain namelist parameters (described in Subsection 5.3), if full Mie-scattering or Rayleigh approximation with one of 3 different levels of simplification for  $m_{eff}$  of snow, graupel and hail is applied (see also Subsection 5.5 for an example of the relevant namelist parameters added to namelist GRIBOUT). These different levels of simplification can lead to largely different results for  $Z_e$  in case of melting hydrometeors, whereas in case of dry ice particles no significant differences are observed. The physical reason for this behaviour is that ice is only a weak dielectric whereas water is a strong dielectric (permanently polar molecules) so that the choice of a certain EMA-formulation becomes important primarily in the presence of water within a mixture particle.

# 5.1 An approximation for the degree of melting applicable for bulk microphysical schemes

This section describes a simple parameterization of the degree of melting  $f_{melt}$  (melted mass fraction) of hydrometeors, which can be used with bulk microphysical models which in turn do not explicitly predict the degree of melting. First of all, let us recall the definition of  $f_{melt}$ ,

$$f_{melt} = \frac{x_w}{x_{i,0}} \quad , \tag{24}$$

where  $x_w$  is the mass of meltwater and  $x_{i,0}$  is the unmelted mass of the particle, only counting the ice fraction, not the enclosed air. Values for  $f_{melt}$  are in the range of [0,1]. 0 means unmelted and 1 means fully melted.

Generally,  $f_{melt}$  depends on the time a certain particle has spent in a certain environment (*T*, riming rate, rate of turbulent heat transfer towards the particle, determined by, e.g., Reynolds number and particle surface roughness, etc.). This means that for an accurate calculation, the exact trajectory of each single particle has to be known together with a detailed solution of the equation of heat exchange of particles with the environment, considering also the feedback on the environmental temperature. In a numerical weather prediction model, this can hardly be done.

Therefore, to construct a parameterization  $f_{melt}$ , some assumptions have to be made to simplify the problem. We draw the following assumptions:

- In the environment, *T* decreases with height and no intense vertical motions are present, so that particles found at a specific time and place originate from regions above, not below.
- Every hydrometeor category can be assigned its own parameterization of  $f_{melt}$ , following the same master function (see below), but with different parameters.
- Within each hydrometeor class, the rate of heat exchange with the environment is a function of particle size only.
- It follows from the previous assumptions, that the particles all begin to melt at a certain temperature level  $T_{min}$ , e.g., 0°C or slightly below,
- that  $f_{melt}$  only depends on the environmental temperature T and particle diameter D,
- in particular that at a given temperature,  $f_{melt}$  is larger for smaller particles we assume a simple dependence  $f_{melt} \sim D^{-a}$  with a > 0.
- It is further assumed that below the height of a certain temperature level  $T_{max}$ , all particles are fully melted.

From these assumptions it follows that for a given environmental temperature there is a certain particle size  $D_{fm}$  at which the particles are just melted. Larger particles obey  $f_{melt} < 1$ .  $D_{fm}$  has to be 0 for  $T = T_{min}$  and  $D_{fm} \Rightarrow \infty$  for  $T \Rightarrow T_{max}$ . A simple *ansatz* for  $D_{fm}$  fulfilling these constraints is, for example, an inverse exponential formula with respect to temperature,

$$D_{fm}^{a} = D_{ref}^{a} \ln\left(\frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{T_{max} - T}\right) \quad , \tag{25}$$

with  $T_{min} \leq T < T_{max}$ . The exponent *a* has been introduced here for later convenience.  $D_{ref}$  is a scaling parameter and has to be specified properly.  $D_{ref}$  represents the value of  $D_{fm}$  at a temperature  $T = T_{1/e}$ , where

$$T_{1/e} = T_{max} - \frac{1}{e} \left( T_{max} - T_{min} \right) \quad , \tag{26}$$

the e-folding value of T within the interval  $[T_{min}, T_{max}]$ .

Now, requiring that  $f_{melt}(D_{fm}) = 1$  and  $f_{melt} \sim D^{-a}$  immediately leads to

$$f_{melt} = \left(\frac{D_{fm}}{D}\right)^a = \left(\frac{D_{ref}}{D}\right)^a \ln\left(\frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{T_{max} - T}\right) = \operatorname{fct}(D, T)$$
(27)

again for  $T_{min} \leq T < T_{max}$ . This function has to be limited to the codomain  $f_{melt} \in [0, 1]$  and extended to arbitrary temperatures by the constraints  $f_{melt}(D_{fm}) = 0$  for  $T < T_{min}$  and  $f_{melt}(D_{fm}) = 0$  for  $T < T_{max}$ , which finally leads to the formulation

$$f_{melt}^{*} = \begin{cases} 0 & , T < T_{min} \\ \left(\frac{D_{ref}}{D}\right)^{a} \ln\left(\frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{T_{max} - T}\right) & , T_{min} \leq T < T_{max} \\ 1 & , T \geq T_{max} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{melt} = \min[\max[f_{melt}^*, 0], 1]$$
 (28)

This formulation is intended to describe  $f_{melt}$  in a temperature regime in which the sensible heat flux from the environment to the particle is an important mechanism in enhancing the temperature of the particle. The parameter  $T_{min}$  denotes the temperature where this melting process starts. In that regime, the abovementioned assumptions leading to formula (27) may be more or less reasonable.

However, it may be desireable to also include the effects of melting at lower temperatures  $T < T_{min}$  caused by high riming rates and too small a transfer of latent heat of freezing away from the particle, as it is sometimes observed for, e.g., high density graupel particles. This effect may be active for large particles in the temperature range of  $-10 < T < 0^{\circ}$ C. To this end, a simple extension to formula (27) is proposed, based on the (maybe contradictory) assumption that the effect on  $f_{melt}$  does not depend on particle size.



Figure 9: Parameterization function for the degree of melting  $f_{melt}$  as function of temperature in °C and particle diameter in mm for an exemplaric parameter set of formula (29), applicable to graupel or hail particles, see annotations. The exponent parameter *a* is 1.0.

Without a more sound theoretical basis, we would not dare to go any further than a linear dependence on size or a stepfunction, and we limit ourselves to the even simpler constance assumption above. Concerning the temperature dependence at fixed size, a linear *ansatz* is used.

Introducing the two new parameters  $T_{mb}$  and  $f_{tmin}$  ( $T_{mb}$  = Temperature, at which riming induced melting starts,  $f_{tmin}$  = degree of melting reached at  $T_{min}$ ), the following extended formula

$$f_{melt}^{*} = \begin{cases} 0 & , T < T_{mb} \\ \frac{f_{tmin}}{T_{min} - T_{mb}} (T - T_{mb}) & , T_{mb} \leq T < T_{min} \\ (1 - f_{tmin}) \left(\frac{D_{ref}}{D}\right)^{a} \ln\left(\frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{T_{max} - T}\right) + f_{tmin} & , T_{min} \leq T < T_{max} \\ 1 & , T \geq T_{max} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{melt} = \min[\max[f_{melt}^{*}, 0], 1]$$
(29)

is implemented into radar\_mie\_lm.

Formula (29) is used if  $T_{mb} < T_{min}$  whereas the extension is switched off choosing  $T_{mb} \ge T_{min}$ , which leads to application of formula (28).

Figure 9 shows  $f_{melt}$  as function of T and D after formula (29) for an exemplaric parameter set of  $T_{mb}$ ,  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $f_{tmin}$  and  $D_{ref}$ , suitable for high density graupel or hail particles. It can be seen that a distinct

Subsection 5.1 Subsection 4.3 TOC Index

transition between the riming- and environmental temperature-induced melting processes can be modeled by appropriate parameter settings of the parameterization (29).

The implementation into radar\_mie\_lm is as follows: for graupel- and hail particles, the parameters  $T_{mb}$ ,  $T_{min}$  and  $f_{tmin}$  are set equal to the values annotated in Figure 9.  $D_{ref}$  is chosen as min $[6 \ mm, \overline{D}(\overline{x})]$ , with  $\overline{D}(\overline{x})$  beeing the mean mass spherical equivalent diameter of the particle spectrum. For snow- and cloud ice particles, the riming-induced melting is switched off by choosing  $T_{min} = 0^{\circ}$ C and  $T_{mb} > T_{min}$ , and  $D_{ref}$  is the same as for graupel and hail. Based on explicit simulations of melting particles carried out with a spectral bin microphysical model (Hebrew University Cloud Model HUCM), the exponent *a* is chosen as 0.5 for snow and cloud ice, 0.6 for graupel and 0.8 for hail particles.

So far the parameter  $T_{max}$  remains to be discussed. This parameter denotes the temperature above which even the largest particles are assumed to be fully melted. From physical arguments it would seem reasonable to specify a fixed value (e.g., 10°C). However, there might be situations, e.g., strong convective downdrafts, in which the particles may "survive" longer. To account for this, a dynamic approach is chosen to make use of the information about the melting process and  $T_{max}$  which is provided by the melting parameterization of the cloud microphysical scheme itself: If there are particles of the specific type are present at locations with *T* exceeding the above fixed value,  $T_{max}$  is dynamically adjusted to the temperature of the lowest model height level where these melting hydrometeors are present. The fixed minimum value is presently chosen as 5°C for snow and cloud ice, 10°C for graupel and 20°C for hail. This is done every timestep at every horizontal gridpoint. This "precipitation-column"-approach should be reasonable in case of coarse numerical horizontal grid resolution and/or low windspeed. To prevent problems in case of high grid resolution and high windspeed,  $T_{max}$  is further set to its maximum value within a "search area" of 10 x 10 km<sup>2</sup> centered around each gridpoint, providing a certain degree of smoothing.

The following subroutines in radar\_mie\_lm are connected to the  $f_{melt}$ -parameterization:

• degree\_of\_melting\_fun():

master function (29), input parameters are D,  $T_{mb}$ ,  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $f_{tmin}$  and  $D_{ref}$ . Output parameter is  $f_{melt}$ .

• initialize\_tmax():

Determination of  $T_{max}$ , separately for every hydrometeor category.

- Subroutines which itself call degree\_of\_melting\_fun() with suitable input parameter sets for each hydrometeor type:
  - degree\_of\_melting\_ice(), degree\_of\_melting\_ice\_sb()
  - degree\_of\_melting\_snow(), degree\_of\_snow\_hail\_sb()
  - degree\_of\_melting\_graupel(), degree\_of\_graupel\_hail\_sb()
  - degree\_of\_melting\_hail(), degree\_of\_melting\_hail\_sb()

The results of the reflectivity calculations using the presented parameterization for  $f_{melt}$  look qualitatively promising for stratiform precipitation (radar "bright band"), but there will almost certainly be problems in case of deep convective events. It has to be mentioned, too, that up to now no attempt to verify or calibrate the formula (29) and its parameters has been undertaken aside the abovementioned tests using the HUCM bin microphysical model. Therefore, the above parameterization remains speculative to some degree. However, since almost no suitable measurements of the degree of melting in clouds are existing, the verification/calibration would have to rely on further simulations with HUCM or on a suitable detailed Lagrangian melting model. But even such a Lagrangian model would require certain assumptions on the vertical and horizontal structure of precipitation and horizontal and vertical windspeed and therefore it is unclear whether the results of such a verification/calibration approach would be applicable in general.

# 5.2 An efficient Rayleigh-Approximation for melting hydrometeors with Oguchis refractive index formulation

There is a nice closed analytical solution for  $Z_e$  in Rayleigh approximation if a generalized gamma distribution is assumed for the particle mass distribution and Oguchis EMA formula for  $m_{eff}$  with shape factor u = 2 (equation (16) on Page 15) is applied. A further precondition is that the same degree of melting is assumed for all particles, regardless of their size. However, this EMA approximation is not valid in case of a mixture of strong and/or strongly differing dielectric materials, as is the case with melting hydrometeors.

Nevertheless, this approach is implemented into radar\_mie\_lm because of its computational efficiency. It has to be remembered that it can be safely applied for rain and for dry ice particles but may lead to much too small reflectivity values for melting hydrometeors.

Now, recalling that the dielectric factor *K* in the Rayleigh approximation equals  $(\varepsilon - 1)/(\varepsilon + 2)$ , Oguchis formula (16) with u = 2 for the effective refractive index of an ice-water-air mixture reads

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{eff} - 1}{\varepsilon_{eff} + 2} = \frac{\varepsilon_i - 1}{\varepsilon_i + 2} p_i + \frac{\varepsilon_w - 1}{\varepsilon_w + 2} p_w + \frac{\varepsilon_a - 1}{\varepsilon_a + 2} p_a$$

$$K_{eff} = K_i p_i + K_w p_w + K_a p_a \quad , \qquad (30)$$

where indices *i*, *w*, *a* and *s* denote ice, air, water and the mixture particle, and  $p_i$ ,  $p_w$ ,  $p_a$  are the respective volume fractions. Since

$$p_x = \frac{V_x}{V} = \frac{m_x}{\rho_x V} \qquad x \in i, w, a \quad , \tag{31}$$

where  $V_X$  is partial volume of material x, V is total particle volume,  $m_x$  is partial mass of material x and  $\rho_x$  is the material's density, equation (30) can be rewritten to

$$K_{eff} = \frac{1}{V} \left( K_i \frac{m_i}{\rho_i} + K_w \frac{m_w}{\rho_w} + K_a \frac{m_a}{\rho_a} \right) \quad . \tag{32}$$

To a very good approximation,  $K_a = 0$ , and using the definition of partial densities  $\rho_{p,s} = m_i/V$  and  $\rho_{p,w} = m_w/V$ ,

$$K_{eff} = K_i \frac{\rho_{p,s}}{\rho_i} + K_w \frac{\rho_{p,w}}{\rho_w} \quad . \tag{33}$$

 $K_x$  are complex numbers,  $K_x = K_{x,r} + i K_{x,i}$ , so the square of the modulus of  $K_{eff}$  is

$$\left|K_{eff}\right|^{2} = \left|K_{i}\right|^{2} \left(\frac{\rho_{p,s}}{\rho_{i}}\right)^{2} + \left|K_{w}\right|^{2} \left(\frac{\rho_{p,w}}{\rho_{w}}\right)^{2} + 2\frac{\rho_{p,s}\rho_{p,w}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{w}}\left(K_{i,r}K_{w,r} + K_{i,i}K_{w,i}\right) \quad .$$
(34)

Defining  $x = m_i + m_w$  as mass of a melting particle without its air contribution and  $f_{melt}$  as its degree of melting, so that  $m_i = (1 - f_{melt})x$  and  $m_w = f_{melt}x$ , the Rayleigh reflectivity (equation (5)) for a population of melting particles is

$$Z_{e} = \frac{1}{|K_{w,0}|^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |K_{eff}|^{2} D^{6}N(D) dD$$

$$= \frac{1}{|K_{w,0}|^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |K_{eff}|^{2} D(x)^{6}N(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{|K_{w,0}|^{2}} \left[ \frac{|K_{i}|^{2}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{6V}{\pi} \right)^{2} \frac{m_{i}^{2}}{V^{2}} N(x) dx + \frac{|K_{w}|^{2}}{\rho_{w}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{6V}{\pi} \right)^{2} \frac{m_{w}^{2}}{V^{2}} N(x) dx + 2 \frac{K_{i,r}K_{w,r} + K_{i,i}K_{w,i}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{w}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{6V}{\pi} \right)^{2} \frac{m_{i}m_{w}}{V^{2}} N(x) dx \right]$$

$$= \frac{6^{2}}{\pi^{2} |K_{w,0}|^{2}} \left[ \frac{|K_{i}|^{2}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - f_{melt})^{2} x^{2} N(x) dx + 2 \frac{K_{i,r}K_{w,r} + K_{i,i}K_{w,i}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{w}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{melt} (1 - f_{melt}) x^{2} N(x) dx \right]$$

$$= \frac{6^{2}}{\pi^{2} |K_{w,0}|^{2}} \left[ \frac{|K_{i}|^{2}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} (1 - f_{melt})^{2} + \frac{|K_{w}|^{2}}{\rho_{w}^{2}} f_{melt}^{2} + 2 \frac{K_{i,r}K_{w,r} + K_{i,i}K_{w,i}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{w}} f_{melt} (1 - f_{melt}) \right] M_{2} ,$$
(35)

Subsection 5.1 Subsection 5.2 TOC Index

where  $M_2$  denotes the second moment of the particle mass distribution function. The last equality in equation (35) is only valid if all particles obey the same degree of melting, independent of their size. equation (35) is especially attractive since it only envolves the calculation of the second moment with respect to mass, which in most cases is far more efficient since, for certain types of distribution functions N(x) (e.g., generalized gamma distribution),  $M_2$  can be written in closed analytical form.

Note, however, that a systematical underestimation of  $Z_e$  might possibly occur, since it is assumed here (as in all other routines of radar\_mie\_lm) that the mass of meltwater is contained in the particle mass x and the second moment  $M_2$ , which contrasts the treatment of melting in most microphysical schemes, where the meltwater is (partially or completely) shedded to rainwater.

# 5.3 Controlling reflectivity calculations in LM — relevant namelist parameters and their setting

To enable output of radar reflectivity in LM, the user simply has to specify the output variable 'dBZ' within the list of output variables in the GRIBOUT-namelist. 'dBZ' is implemented for model level output as well as for pressure-level- or height-level interpolation. In case of interpolated output, the reflectivity is calculated first on model levels and subsequently interpolated to output pressure/height levels. In standard LM, the interpolation is done by cubic tension splines. However, reflectivity is a positive definite quantity and can vary over several orders of magnitude between adjacent grid points. In this case, cubic splines can lead to serious undershoots producing negative values. We therefore changed the interpolation in our LM version to simple linear interpolation, but unfortunately this is not available in the operational LM version.

Once reflectivity output is chosen, the basic namelist parameter to control the kind of reflectivity calculation is

• itype\_refl, namelist GRIBOUT (INTEGER: 1, 2, 3, or 4).

The radarwavelength can be specified by

• lambda\_radar, namelist GRIBOUT (REAL).

To further choose different EMA approximations of  $m_{eff}$  for different hydrometeor types, the following namelist parameters apply (GRIBOUT namelist):

- ctype\_drysnow\_mie
- ctype\_wetsnow\_mie
- ctype\_drygraupel\_mie
- ctype\_wetgraupel\_mie
- ctype\_dryhail\_mie (only for itype\_gscp  $\geq$  2000)
- ctype\_wethail\_mie (only for itype\_gscp  $\geq$  2000)
- ctype\_drysnow\_ray
- ctype\_wetsnow\_ray
- ctype\_drygraupel\_ray
- ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray
- ctype\_dryhail\_ray (only for itype\_gscp ≥ 2000)
- ctype\_wethail\_ray (only for itype\_gscp ≥ 2000)

Their setting depends in turn on itype\_refl. The listing below and the subsequently linked tables show the different possible combinations of these parameters and the corresponding radar\_mielib functions which are used to calculate radar reflecitvity.

Further, in case of graupel particles and application of Mie-scattering (itype\_refl=1), the namelist parameter igraupel\_type (=1, 2, or 3) provides three possibilities for the melting model of graupel, see the listing below.

In case of melting hydrometeors, the degree of melting is derived from the parameterization described in Subsection 5.1 before calculating reflectivity according to the following listing.

The followin listing shows combinations of the namelist parameter itype\_refl with the other namelist parameters mentioned previously in this section. The links in the right column lead to the description of the corresponding radar\_mielib subroutine and to subsequent tables which describe in detail the possible settings of the corresponding namelist parameter for the EMA approximation:

• itype\_refl = 1:

#### Mie scattering, general EMA approximation

• Cloud drops:

Rayleigh approximation, analytical solution of (5)

• Rain:

Rayleigh approximation, analytical solution of (5)

- Cloud ice: dry / melting: Rayleigh approximation with EMA after Oguchi
- Snow:

```
dry (T > 0^{\circ}C):
```

meltingratio\_outside = 0.7 radienverh = 0.5

#### • Graupel:

```
dry (T > -10^{\circ}C): MIE_DRY_GRAUPEL() — ctype_drygraupel melting (T \leq -10^{\circ}C): depends on namelist parameter igraupel_type:
```

- igraupel\_type = 1: MIE\_SOAK\_WETGR() — ctype\_wetgraupel meltratio\_outside = 0.7
- igraupel\_type = 2: MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPH\_WETGR() — ctype\_wetgraupel
- igraupel\_type = 3:
   MIE\_WATERSPH\_WETGR() ctype\_wetgraupel

#### • Hail:

```
dry (T >0^{\circ}C): MIE_DRY_GRAUPEL() — ctype_dryhail melting (T \leq0^{\circ}C): MIE_SPONGY_WETHAIL() — ctype_wethail_ray fwater = 0.5
```

#### • itype\_refl = 2:

#### Rayleigh approximation with general EMA approximation

- Cloud drops: Rayleigh approximation, analytical solution of (5)
- **Rain:** Rayleigh approximation, analytical solution of (5)
- Cloud ice: dry / melting:Rayleigh approximation with EMA after Oguchi
- Snow: dry ( $T > 0^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() — ctype\_drysnow\_ray melting ( $T \le 0^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGR() — ctype\_wetsnow\_ray

• Graupel:

dry ( $T > -10^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() — ctype\_drygraupel\_ray melting ( $T \le -10^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGR() — ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray

• Hail:

dry ( $T > 0^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() — ctype\_dryhail\_ray melting ( $T \le 0^{\circ}$ C): RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGR() — ctype\_wethail\_ray

• itype\_ref1 = 3:

Rayleigh approximation with EMA after Oguchi for cloud ice, snow, graupel and hail (analytical formula from Subsection 5.2), Rayleigh approximation (5) for cloud droplets and rain drops.

• itype\_refl = 4:

Very simple and efficient Rayleigh approximation from pp\_utilities.f90 for all particles, crude description of melting particles

# 5.4 Tables of namelist parameter settings for the EMA choice

Table 38: 3-character Coding of the namelist-parameters ctype\_XXX for two-component ice-air mixture materials and different EMA approximations, controlling the keywords of function get\_m\_mix(). Question marks denote wildcards for arbitrary characters.

<pre>mixingrulestring   (1. character)</pre>	<pre>matrixstring (2. character)</pre>	<pre>inclusionstring (3. character)</pre>	ctype-Code
'bruggemann' - 'b'	N/A	N/A	'b??'
'oguchi' - 'o'	N/A	N/A	<b>'</b> o?? <b>'</b>
	'ice' - 'i'	'spherical' - 'k'	'mik'
'maxwellgarnett' - 'm'		'spheroidal' -'s'	'mis'
	'air' - 'a'	'spherical' - 'k'	'mak'
		'spheroidal' -'s'	'mas'

Table 39: Similar to Table 38: 3-character Coding of the namelist-parameters ctype\_XXX for twocomponent ice-water mixture materials and different EMA approximations, controlling the keywords of function get\_m\_mix(). Question marks denote wildcards for arbitrary characters.

<pre>mixingrulestring   (1. character)</pre>	<pre>matrixstring (2. character)</pre>	<pre>inclusionstring (3. character)</pre>	ctype-Code
'bruggemann' - 'b'	N/A	N/A	'b??'
'oguchi' - 'o'	N/A	N/A	'o??'
	'ice' - 'i'	'spherical' - 'k'	'mik'
'maxwellgarnett' - 'm'		'spheroidal' -'s'	'mis'
	'water' - 'a'	'spherical' - 'k'	'mwk'
		'spheroidal' -'s'	'mws'

hostinclusionstrir character 'k' instead, lea	are only display ding to 4 times mo	re possibilities as la	spheroidal inclusions (i.e iid out in the table below.	, character 's'), but bot	h can also specify spheric.	al inclusions by
<pre>mixingrulestring (1. character)</pre>	<pre>hoststring (2. character)</pre>	<pre>matrixstring (3. character)</pre>	<pre>inclusionstring (4. character)</pre>	<pre>hostmatrixstring (5. character)</pre>	<pre>hostinclusionstring (6. character)</pre>	ctype-Code
'bruggemann' - 'b'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	,¿¿¿¿? d ,
'oguchi' - 'o'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	, ¿¿¿¿¿ ? 0 ,
		'ice' - 'i'	'spherical' - 'k'	N/A	N/A	'mnik??'
			'spheroidal' - 's'	N/A	N/A	'mnis??'
	'none' - 'n'	'water' - 'w'	'spherical' - 'k'	N/A	N/A	/mnwk??/
			'spheroidal' - 's'	N/A	N/A	'??swum'
		'air' - 'a'	'spherical' - 'k'	N/A	N/A	'mnak??'
			'spheroidal' - 's'	N/A	N/A	'mnas??'
		'air' - 'a'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'ice' - 'i'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'miasis'
'maxwellgarnett' - 'm'	'ice' - 'i'		'spheroidal' - 's'	'airwater' - 'r'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'miasrs'
		'water' - 'w'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'ice' - 'i'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'miwsis'
			'spheroidal' - 's'	'airwater' - 'r'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'miwsrs'
		'ice' - 'i'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'water' - 'w'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mwisws'
	'water' - 'w'		'spheroidal' - 's'	'airice' - 's'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mwisss'
		'air' - 'a'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'water' - 'w'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mwasws'
			'spheroidal' - 's'	'airice' - 's'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mwasss'
		'ice' - 'i'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'air' - 'a'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'maisas'
	'air' - 'a'		'spheroidal' - 's'	'icewater' - 'm'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'maisms'
		'water' - 'w'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'air' - 'a'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mawsas'
			'spheroidal' - 's'	'icewater' - 'm'	'spheroidal' - 's'	'mawsms'

Table 41: 6-character coding of the namelist-parameters ctype\_XXX for a two-layered particle, composed of a two-component ice-air mixture core and a two-component ice-water shell, and for different EMA approximations, controlling the keywords of function get\_m\_mix(). Question marks denote wildcards for arbitrary characters. The code is a combination of two 3-character codes similar to Table 38 and Table 39. There are 36 possibilities altogether, namely all combinations of 3-character codes from part 1 (core material) and part 2 (shell material) of the table.

Part 1: 1.-3. character, ice-air mixture of particle core

<pre>mixingrulestring   (1. character)</pre>	<pre>matrixstring (2. character)</pre>	<pre>inclusionstring (3. character)</pre>	ctype-Code (1:3)
'bruggemann'	N/A	N/A	'b??'
'oguchi'	N/A	N/A	<b>'</b> o?? <b>'</b>
	'ice'	'spherical'	'mik'
'maxwellgarnett'		'spheroidal'	'mis'
	'air'	'spherical'	'mak'
		'spheroidal'	'mas'

Part 2: 46.	character.	ice-water	mixture	of	particle	shel
I GIL 0 II 10 00	children accord	ice mater	IIIIII CAL C	<b>U</b>	parterer	<b>DITE</b>

<pre>mixingrulestring   (4. character)</pre>	<pre>matrixstring (5. character)</pre>	<pre>inclusionstring (6. character)</pre>	ctype-Code (4:6)
'bruggemann'	N/A	N/A	'b??'
'oguchi'	N/A	N/A	<b>'</b> o?? <b>'</b>
	'ice'	'spherical'	'mik'
'maxwellgarnett'		'spheroidal'	'mis'
	'water'	'spherical'	'mwk'
		'spheroidal'	'mws'

### 5.5 Additions to LM-runscripts to enable reflectivity calculations

An example for the necessary additions to the namelist GRIBOUT to enable reflectivity calculation and output at each output timestep:

′,′W yvarzl='U ′,′V ','dBZ ′,′P ΊΤ itype\_refl=2, lambda\_radar=0.055, ! Einstellungen fuer itype\_refl=1 ! (effektiver Brechungsindex bei Mie-Streuung): 'masmas ', ctype\_drysnow\_mie= ctype\_wetsnow\_mie= 'mawsasmawsas', ctype\_drygraupel\_mie= 'mis ΄, ! Typ des Schmelzmodells fuer die Mie-Rechnung bei ! schmelzendem Graupel (1=soak, 2=twosphere, 3=watersphere): ! (+zugehoeriges ctype\_wetgraupel\_mie -- entsprechend anpassen) igraupel\_type=1, ctype\_wetgraupel\_mie= 'mawsms ٢, ! Nur bei itype\_gscp >= 2000 wirksam: ctype\_dryhail\_mie= ′mis ΄, ctype\_wethail\_mie= ′mws ٢, ! Einstellungen fuer itype\_refl=2 ! (effektiver Brechungsindex bei Rayleigh-Streuung): ٢, ctype\_drysnow\_ray= 'mas ctype\_wetsnow\_ray= 'mawsas ΄, ٢, ctype\_drygraupel\_ray= 'mis ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray= 'mawsms ΄, ! Nur bei itype\_gscp >= 2000 wirksam: ctype\_dryhail\_ray= ′mis ΄, ٬, ctype\_wethail\_ray= 'mawsms



Figure 10: Bulk density of snow/graupel particles in kg m<sup>-3</sup> as funktion of sphere equivalent diameter in mm, deduced from the 4 different inverse mass-size-relations presented in equations (36), (37), (38) and (39).

# 6 Backscattering cross sections of dry ice particles

We now turn to a more detailed investigation on the backscattering properties of single precipitation particles. In this section, the focus is on results for dry ice particles. In later sections, similar investigations will also be presented for melting ice particles.

For dry ice particles, basically two different particle models are available in radar\_mielib if Miescattering is assumed. These are the one-layered ordinary sphere (suitable, e.g., for graupel and hail particles) and the two-layered sphere (e.g., for snow flakes). With Rayleigh scattering, only one-layered spheres are accounted for, since the Rayleigh approximation is an approximation to the Mie scattering functions for ordinary spheres.

In the following, the two different particle models are briefly described, together with a short examination of the differences in  $Z_e$  which they produce for the **C-Band radar wavelength**  $\lambda_0 = 5.5$  cm.

#### 6.1 One-layered sphere

In this section, the differences in  $Z_e$  caused by the application of different EMAs in case of different particle bulk densities is examined at  $\lambda_0 = 5.5$  cm (C-Band) to provide a qualitative guideline what to expect from what combination of these settings. The focus here is on dry ice particles which are assumed to be one-layered spheres of a homogeneous two-compontent mixture of ice and air. This particle model should be applicable to graupel and hail particles and in case of Rayleigh approximation, it has to be applied to all kinds of ice particles.

To this end, backscatter cross sections of graupel particles were calculated as a function of particle diameter by the radar\_mielib subroutine MIE\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() (subsubsection 4.3.1) or, in case of Rayleigh approximation, by subroutine RAYLEIGH\_DRY\_GRAUPEL() (subsubsection 4.3.2). This was done for two different temperatures ( $T = -10^{\circ}$ C,  $T = -20^{\circ}$ C) and for the mixing rules presented in subsubsection 3.5.4, applied to a two-component mixture. To show the dependence on particle bulk density (important to deduce the volume fractions of ice and air for the EMAs), this parameter is derived alternatively from the four inverse mass-size-relations

$$\frac{D}{D_0} = 0.19091 \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{0.32258}$$
 ("Graupel", standard LM one-moment scheme) (36)

$$\frac{D}{D_0} = 0.11 \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{0.3} \qquad ("Graupel", SB two-moment scheme) \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{D}{D_0} = 5.12989 \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{0.5}$$
 ("Snow", standard LM one-moment scheme) (38)

$$\frac{D}{D_0} = 1.33398 \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{0.41667} \qquad ("Snow", SB two-moment scheme) \quad , \tag{39}$$

assuming equivalent spherical particles. x is the particle mass (without the mass of the enclosed air), D its diameter and  $x_0$  and  $D_0$  are constant scaling parameters of 1 kg and 1 m, resp. The general form of the inverse mass-size-relation used throughout this document is

$$\frac{D}{D_0} = a_{geo} \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^{b_{geo}} \quad , \tag{40}$$

with  $a_{geo}$  and  $b_{geo}$  beeing dimensionless constants.

equation (36) and (38) are taken from the operational one-moment bulk microphysical scheme of the LM, and equation (37) and (39) from the two-moment bulk microphysical scheme of Seifert and Beheng (2006). Figure 10 presents the resulting particle bulk densities as function of size and shows that, with these 4 different relations, nearly the whole range of possible bulk densities occuring in nature is covered.

For the refractive index of ice, the model of Mätzler (1998) (cf. Figure 6 on Page 11) is used in the following.

The results (Figure 11) show that there is no substantial difference at the two assumed temperatures. In addition, all applied mixing rules lead to nearly the same backscattering cross section for a given particle (the variation range of  $\sigma_b$  for different EMAs is less than 3 dB — a factor of two — which is not much). Considerable differences occur for larger particles when Rayleigh scattering is assumed instead of Mie scattering. Most important, the backscattering cross section shows a strong increase with particle bulk density, as shown by the four different used mass-size-relations.



Figure 11: Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  in m<sup>2</sup> as a function of particle diameter, EMA (see legend and explanation in Table 38 in combination with section 4.2.4) for  $T = -10^{\circ}$ C. **Panels from top to bottom:** Graupel with mass-size-relation (36) from the LM standard microphysics scheme, graupel from Seifert and Beheng two-moment scheme (equation (37)), snow from the LM standard scheme (equation (38)), snow from the two-moment scheme (equation (39)).

Section 6 Subsection 6.1 TOC Index

# 6.2 Two-layered sphere

Backscattering cross sections for a two-layered sphere (cf. Figure 1 on Page 7) were calculated by using the radar\_mielib subroutine MIE\_DRYSNOW\_TWOSPH() (subsubsection 4.3.5). Here, an additional important parameter is the ratio between the inner sphere and outer sphere radii. This ratio has to be preset and is a fixed input parameter for subroutine MIE\_DRYSNOW\_TWOSPH(). Without loss of generality, a value of 0.5 is used throughout radar\_mie\_lm, but this can be changed by the user. As mentioned before, this model is applicable to snow particles, as shown by, e.g., Fabry and Szyrmer (1999).

A similar comparison as presented in the last Subsection 6.1 is repeated here for snow particles of two different mass-size-relations as a function of particle diameter, temperature and mixing rule. The inverse mass-size-relations were given earlier in equation (38) and (39) in Subsection 6.1.

For the refractive index of ice, again the model of Mätzler (1998) (cf. Figure 6 on Page 11) is used in the following.

A comment is necessary on the derivation of the particle bulk densities in the inner and outer spherical shells. Note that for snow flakes, it is observed that the bulk density usually decreases with size, reflected by the mass-size-relations found in literature. To explain this behaviour, Fabry and Szyrmer (1999) present an interesting *Gedankenexperiment*: Snow flakes preferably form by aggregation of smaller ice and snow particles. Thats why they are called "aggregates" in technical terms. Consider now without loss of generality a population of equally sized snow flakes having equal bulk density. Through collision and sticking, larger flakes are formed. In the extreme case of flakes aggregating around a single "embryonal flake" in dense sphere packing, the resulting flake would be double in diameter with a nearly equal but slightly lower bulk density. In reality, this dense packing will almost never be fully realised and there will always be some voids causing the overall bulk density to be even lower. Generalization to an initial population of differently sized snow flakes, the outcome will always be a decreasing mean bulk density with snow flake diameter.

To model this, the bulk densities of the inner and outer shell of the two-layered spherical particles are calculated in the following way: Given a fixed mass-size-relation representing a decreasing bulk density with diameter, a certain snowflake diameter and an assumed ratio of inner to outer shell diameter (e.g., 0.5), at first the inner core is assigned the density found by applying the inner sphere diameter within the mass-size-relation. Then, given the total mass by applying the outer sphere diameter within the mass-size-relation, the mass of the outer spherical shell is just the difference of total mass minus mass of inner sphere. From this and the preset and fixed ratio of inner to outer sphere radius, the bulk density of the outer shell can be calculated.

From the bulk densities of core and shell, the corresponding volume fractions of ice and air are calculated further. To get  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell, the volume fractions are plugged into the different formulas for the EMA approximations presented earlier in this document. Table 38 gives the 6 possible different settings for an ice-air mixture material (cf. subsubsection 4.2.4). With this, backscattering coefficients are calculated as function of size and all implemented combinations of EMA approximations for core and shell ( $6 \times 6 = 36$ ). This was done for both inverse mass-size relations mentioned earlier in this section. In all cases, the temperature was set to  $-10^{\circ}$ C.

Figure 12 shows the results for both mass-size relations in a simplified way (upper panel: relation (38), lower panel: relation (39)). In each figure, the blue "strip" marks the envelope (variation range) of  $\sigma_b$  as function of size and EMA for the two-layered Mie calculation. For comparison, the red "strip" gives the corresponding results considering Mie scattering by simple spheres only (6 different EMA approximations), and further applying Rayleigh approximation leads to the grey "strip". It is found that imposing different EMA-approximations leads to only moderate variations of  $\sigma_b$  for a given size. This can be explained by the fact that ice is weak dielectric only and nearly every different choice of an EMA approximation leads to the nearly the same  $m_{eff}$ . Moreover, in case of  $\lambda_0 = 5.5$  cm as considered here, for particles with D < 15 mm it does not make a large difference if choosing a two-sphere particle model or simple spheres, or if choosing an even simpler Rayleigh approximation. For larger particles, the two-sphere model produces slightly smaller backscattering cross sections than the simple sphere model (larger difference for less dense particles) and the Rayleigh approximation becomes unrealistic.

As a result, considering the large numerical effort, application of Mie scattering for dry snowflakes makes sense in case for large snowflakes whereas a further complication by using the two-layered sphere model seems to be unnecessary in this case.

However, it will be shown in the next section that in case of melting snowflakes, the two-layered sphere model leeds to significantly different results compared to simple spheres.



Figure 12: Variation range of Backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  for snow in m<sup>2</sup> as a function of particle diameter considering all possible combinations of the implemented EMAs for a two-layered sphere at  $T = -10^{\circ}$ C. See text for explanation. **Upper panel:** Snow with mass-size-relation (38) from the LM standard microphysics scheme, **lower panel:** snow from Seifert and Beheng two-moment scheme (equation (39)).

# 7 Backscattering cross sections for melting ice particles relative to the mass-equivalent water drop

For melting ice particles, the presence of water (a strong dielectric) within the ice structure of melting particles poses a particular problem when it comes to the proper choice of an effective medium approximation. In fact, is there a proper choice at all? The answer to this question stronly depends on the mixture topology of the ice-water-air mixture. Whereas for nearly solid hail it seems quite easy, the structure of melting intermediate- or low-density graupel or snowflakes is more difficult to treat.

Therefore, radar\_mielib and radar\_mie\_lm provide no definite answer (the one and only solution) but permit the user to choose between many different possibilities to get a feeling for the range of uncertainty of the resulting reflectivity value. However, there are theoretical and experimental results presented in literature providing guidelines which EMA formulation and particle model should work better for certain hydrometeor types. Some basic discussion was presented earlier in the sections describing different EMA approximations For more detailed informations, the reader is kindly referred to the corresponding literature. A starting point could be, e.g., the book of Bohren and Huffman (1983) and a number of subsequent articles by C. Bohren and coauthors. The literature is so numerous that we omit further citing here.

For LM users, the "hints" and guidelines from literature are reflected by reasonable default parameter values for the corresponding subroutines in radar\_mie\_lm, which can, of course, be changed. And to provide some guideline about what happens to  $Z_e$  if the default parameter settings are changed, the following sections provide a detailed investigation of the backscattering cross sections of melting hydrometeors considering all possible parameter settings for the most basic particle models implemented into radar\_mielib.

To this end, the same 4 different inverse mass-size relations as in Subsection 6.1 are applied to deduce the mass of the initially unmelted particle which is varied from small to large values. Subsequent variation of the degree of melting  $f_{melt}$  and the particle model together with the EMA approximation leads to a very large compilation of results which is presented in the following subsections.

The results apply to a C-Band radar wavelength of  $\lambda_0 = 5.5$  cm and to a temperature of 5°C.

# 7.1 Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme

Results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Rayleigh approximation), see subsubsection 4.3.12 on Page 48. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b$  to the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_{b,drop}$  of the resulting water drop, if the particle would be completely melted, as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ . The particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (36) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (black solid line).

Every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel and snow particles in case of application of the standard one-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp < 100) together with itype\_refl = 2.



Section 7 Subsection 7.1 TOC Index



# 7.2 Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Rayleigh approximation), see subsubsection 4.3.12 on Page 48, but this time the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (37) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (red solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel, snow and hail particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ) together with itype\_refl = 2.



Section 7 Subsection 7.2 TOC Index


# 7.3 Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Rayleigh approximation), see subsubsection 4.3.12 on Page 48, but this time the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (38) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (blue solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow\_ray (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray) can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40.



Section 7 Subsection 7.3 TOC Index



# 7.4 Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine RAYLEIGH\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Rayleigh approximation), see subsubsection 4.3.12 on Page 48, but this time the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (39) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (green solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow\_ray (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel\_ray) can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40.



Section 7 Subsection 7.4 TOC Index



### 7.5 Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPHERE\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.9 on Page 43. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell. The particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (36) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (black solid line).

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation combination for  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix(), applied for two-component mixture materials (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter sets for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph, discriminating ice-air core and ice-water shell. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found in the figures title as a combination of two 3-character codes. For explanation of this code, see Table 41. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the standard one-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp < 100) together with namelist-parameters itype\_ref1 = 1 and igraupel\_type = 2.



Section 7 Subsection 7.5 TOC Index



#### 7.6 Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.5, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPHERE\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.9 on Page 43. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (37) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (red solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$ and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell.

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation combination for  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix(), applied for two-component mixture materials (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter sets for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph, discriminating ice-air core and ice-water shell. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found in the figures title as a combination of two 3-character codes. For explanation of this code, see Table 41. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq$  100) together with namelist-parameters itype\_refl = 1 and igraupel\_type = 2.



Section 7 Subsection 7.6 TOC Index



## 7.7 Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.5, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPHERE\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see sub-subsection 4.3.9 on Page 43. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (38) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (blue solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell.

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation combination for  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix(), applied for two-component mixture materials (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter sets for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph, discriminating ice-air core and ice-water shell. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (nonexisting) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found in the figures title as a combination of two 3-character codes. For explanation of this code, see Table 41.



Section 7 Subsection 7.7 TOC Index



## 7.8 Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.5, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_TWOSPHERE\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see sub-subsection 4.3.9 on Page 43. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (39) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (green solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell.

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation combination for  $m_{eff}$  of core and shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix(), applied for two-component mixture materials (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter sets for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph, discriminating ice-air core and ice-water shell. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (nonexisting) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found in the figures title as a combination of two 3-character codes. For explanation of this code, see Table 41.



Section 7 Subsection 7.8 TOC Index



## 7.9 Mie: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering), see subsubsection 4.3.11 on Page 46. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ . The particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (36) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (black solid line).

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the standard LM one-moment bulk microphysical schemes (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp < 100) together with itype\_refl = 1 and igraupel\_type = 1.



Section 7 Subsection 7.9 TOC Index



# 7.10 Mie: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.9, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering), see subsubsection 4.3.11 on Page 46. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (37) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (red solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ) together with itype\_refl = 1 and igraupel\_type = 1.



Section 7 Subsection 7.10 TOC Index



## 7.11 Mie: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.9, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering), see subsubsection 4.3.11 on Page 46. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (38) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (blue solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (nonexisting) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40.



Section 7 Subsection 7.11 TOC Index



Section 7 Subsection 7.11 TOC Index

## 7.12 Mie: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.9, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering), see subsubsection 4.3.11 on Page 46. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (39) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (green solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$ , which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix\_nested() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (provisional) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found as 6-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 40.



Section 7 Subsection 7.12 TOC Index



#### 7.13 Mie: watersphere wet graupel, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_WATERSPHERE\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.8 on Page 41. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ . The particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (36) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (black solid line).

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-air core (the shell is composed of pure water), which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found as 3-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 38. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the standard LM one-moment bulk microphysical schemes (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp < 100) together with itype\_refl = 1 and igraupel\_type = 3.



#### 7.14 Mie: watersphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.13, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.8 on Page 41. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (37) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (red solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-air core (the shell is composed of pure water), which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetgraupel can be found as 3-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 38. The results of this section apply to the LM for graupel particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ) together with itype\_refl = 1 and igraupel\_type = 3.



#### 7.15 Mie: watersphere wet snow, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.13, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.8 on Page 41. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (38) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (blue solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-air core (the shell is composed of pure water), which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (provisional) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found as 3-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 38.



#### 7.16 Mie: watersphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.13, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SOAK\_WETGRAUPEL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.8 on Page 41. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (39) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (green solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-air core (the shell is composed of pure water), which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. Although not beeing implemented into the LM interface functions for snow, the corresponding setting of the (provisional) namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow (in analogy to ctype\_wetgraupel) can be found as 3-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 38.



# 7.17 Mie: spongy wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_SPONGY\_WETHAIL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.7 on Page 39. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles ice-water shell effective refractive index  $m_{eff}$ . The unmelted particle bulk density does not depend on size here and is that of pure ice. The input parameter f\_water (melt fraction within the ice-water shell) was set to 0.5, independent of size and  $f_{melt}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-water shell (the core is composed of solid ice), which is obtained by using function get\_m\_mix() (subsubsection 4.2.4 on Page 26). The input parameter set for get\_m\_mix() can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wethail can be found as 3-character code in the figures title. For explanation of this code, see Table 39. The results of this section apply to the LM for hail particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ) together with itype\_refl = 1.



### 7.18 Mie: watersphere wet hail, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.17, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_WATERSPHERE\_WETHAIL() (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.6 on Page 38. The following figure shows the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter. Since the core is composed of pure ice and the shell is assumed to be water, no effective medium approximation for  $m_{eff}$  of mixture materials is needed here. Therefore, only one figure is sufficient in the following.

MIE\_WATERSPHERE\_WETHAIL() is not implemented into the interface subroutines for the LM, but would be a possible choice in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ), together with itype\_refl = 1.





## 7.19 Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, LM-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.1, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_WETSNOW\_TWOSPHERE () (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.13 on Page 49. The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core and shell effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$ . The particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (38) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (blue solid line).

The input parameter meltingratio\_outside (see subsubsection 4.3.13 on Page 49) is set to 0.7, and radienverh (inner to outer sphere radius) is set to 0.5, independent of size and  $f_{melt}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-water-air core and ice-water-air shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter sets of get\_m\_mix\_nested() for core and shell can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow can be found as 12-character code in the figures title. For explanation of the two 6-character parts of this code, see Table 40. The first 6-character sequence corresponds to the core material, the second 6-character sequence to the shell material. The results of this section apply to the LM for snow particles in case of application of the standard LM one-moment bulk microphysical schemes (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp < 100) together with itype\_refl = 1.



Section 7 Subsection 7.19 TOC Index














































Section 7 Subsection 7.19 TOC Index

















## 7.20 Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

Similar to Subsection 7.19, results are shown for calculations of the backscattering cross section  $\sigma_b$  with subroutine MIE\_WETSNOW\_TWOSPHERE () (Mie scattering, two-layered sphere), see subsubsection 4.3.13 on Page 49. But this time, the particle bulk density as function of size is determined by equation (39) on Page 64. A depiciton of the resulting bulk density as function of particle size can be found in Figure 10 (green solid line). The following figures show the ratio of  $\sigma_b/\sigma_{b,drop}$  as function of  $f_{melt}$  and the unmelted particle diameter for different EMA-formulations of the particles core and shell effective refractive indices  $m_{eff}$ .

The input parameter meltingratio\_outside (see subsubsection 4.3.13 on Page 49) is set to 0.7, and radienverh (inner to outer sphere radius) is set to 0.5, independent of size and  $f_{melt}$ .

Again, every figure represents one particular EMA-formulation for  $m_{eff}$  of the particles ice-water-air core and ice-water-air shell, which both are obtained by using function get\_m\_mix\_nested() (subsubsection 4.2.5 on Page 27). The input parameter sets of get\_m\_mix\_nested() for core and shell can be found in the text annotation within each graph. For users of the LM, the corresponding setting of the namelist-parameter ctype\_wetsnow can be found as 12-character code in the figures title. For explanation of the two 6-character parts of this code, see Table 40. The first 6-character sequence corresponds to the core material, the second 6-character sequence to the shell material. The results of this section apply to the LM for snow particles in case of application of the Seifert and Beheng (2006) two-moment bulk microphysical scheme (namelist-parameter itype\_gscp  $\geq 100$ ) together with itype\_refl = 1.



Section 7 Subsection 7.20 TOC Index







Section 7 Subsection 7.20 TOC Index





## Section 7 Subsection 7.20 TOC Index



















## Section 7 Subsection 7.20 TOC Index



## Section 7 Subsection 7.20 TOC Index


145

























157



Soak twosphere snow, onasssmwisws, 2-moment (Seifert-Beheng)



# 8 Index

## 8.1 Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme

bnasss	69	miasrs	69	mwasss	70
maisas	69	miwsis	69	mwasws	70
maisms	69	miwsrs	70	mwisss	70
mawsas	69	mnasms	70	mwisws	70
mawsms	69	mnisms	70	onasss	70
miasis	69	mnwsms	70		

## 8.2 Rayleigh: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

bnasss	71	miasrs	71	mwasss	72
maisas	71	miwsis	71	mwasws	72
maisms	71	miwsrs	72	mwisss	72
mawsas	71	mnasms	72	mwisws	72
mawsms	71	mnisms	72	onasss	72
miasis	71	mnwsms	72		

### 8.3 Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme

bnasss	73	miasrs	73	mwasss	74
maisas	73	miwsis	73	mwasws	74
maisms	73	miwsrs	74	mwisss	74
mawsas	73	mnasms	74	mwisws	74
mawsms	73	mnisms	74	onasss	74
miasis	73	mnwsms	74		

## 8.4 Rayleigh: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

bnasss	75	miasrs	75	mwasss	76
maisas	75	miwsis	75	mwasws	76
maisms	75	miwsrs	76	mwisss	76
mawsas	75	mnasms	76	mwisws	76
mawsms	75	mnisms	76	onasss	76
miasis	75	mnwsms	76		

## 8.5 Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, LM-scheme

basbis	77	masmws	77	miswis	78
basmis	77	maswis	78	oasmis	78
basmws	77	misbis	78	oasmws	78
masbis	77	mismis	78	oaswis	78
masmis	77	mismws	78		

## 8.6 Mie: soaked twosphere wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

#### 8.12 Mie: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

basbis	79	masmws	79	miswis	80
basmis	79	maswis	80	oasmis	80
basmws	79	misbis	80	oasmws	80
masbis	79	mismis	80	oaswis	80
masmis	79	mismws	80		

## 8.7 Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, LM-scheme

basbis	81	masmws	81	miswis	82
basmis	81	maswis	81	oasmis	82
basmws	81	misbis	81	oasmws	82
masbis	81	mismis	82	oaswis	82
masmis	81	mismws	82		

## 8.8 Mie: soaked twosphere wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

basbis	83	masmws	83	miswis	84
basmis	83	maswis	83	oasmis	84
basmws	83	misbis	83	oasmws	84
masbis	83	mismis	84	oaswis	84
masmis	83	mismws	84		

## 8.9 Mie: soaked wet graupel, LM-scheme

bnasss	85	miasrs	85	mwasss	86
maisas	85	miwsis	85	mwasws	86
maisms	85	miwsrs	86	mwisss	86
mawsas	85	mnasms	86	mwisws	86
mawsms	85	mnisms	86	onasss	86
miasis	85	mnwsms	86		

## 8.10 Mie: soaked wet graupel, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

bnasss	87	miasrs	87	mwasss	88
maisas	87	miwsis	87	mwasws	88
maisms	87	miwsrs	88	mwisss	88
mawsas	87	mnasms	88	mwisws	88
mawsms	87	mnisms	88	onasss	88
miasis	87	mnwsms	88		

## 8.11 Mie: soaked wet snow, LM-scheme

bnasss	89	miasrs	89	mwasss	90
maisas	89	miwsis	89	mwasws	90
maisms	89	miwsrs	90	mwisss	90
mawsas	89	mnasms	90	mwisws	90
mawsms	89	mnisms	90	onasss	90
miasis	89	mnwsms	90		

## 8.12 Mie: soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

bnasss	91	miasrs	91	mwasss	92
maisas	91	miwsis	91	mwasws	92
maisms	91	miwsrs	92	mwisss	92
mawsas	91	mnasms	92	mwisws	92
mawsms	91	mnisms	92	onasss	92
miasis	91	mnwsms	92		
8.13 Mie: v	watersphere wet	graupel, LM-sch	eme		
bas	93	mis	93	015	93
b1S	93	oas	93		
mas	93				
8.14 Mie: v	watersphere wet	graupel, Seifert/I	Beheng-sche	me	
bas	94	mis	94	ois	94
bis	94	oas	94		
mas	94				
8.15 Mie: v	watersphere wet	snow, LM-schem	le		
bas	95	mis	95	ois	95
bis	95	oas	95		
mas	95				
8.16 Mie: v	watersphere wet	snow, Seifert/Be	heng-schem	9	
	•	,	3		
has	96	mis	96	ois	96
bis	96	028	96	015	20
mas	96				
8.17 Mie: 9	spongy wet hail,	Seifert/Beheng-s	cheme		
hws	97	mws	97	OWS	Q7
mis	97	11100 5	<i>)</i>	0.003	
8.18 Mie: v	watersphere wet	hail, Seifert/Behe	eng-scheme		
	98				
8.19 Mie: 1	twosphere soake	d wet snow, LM-	scheme		
bnasssbnasss	99	bnasssmiasis	99	bnasssmnisms	100

011400001140000		0114000011114010		Cinwooodiniioinio	100
bnasssmaisas	99	bnasssmiasrs	100	bnasssmnwsms	100
bnasssmaisms	99	bnasssmiwsis	100	bnasssmwasss	100
bnasssmawsas	99	bnasssmiwsrs	100	bnasssmwasws	100
bnasssmawsms	99	bnasssmnasms	100	bnasssmwisss	100

bnasssmwisws	100	mawsmsmawsms	106	miwsismnisms	112
bnasssonasss	101	mawsmsmiasis	106	miwsismnwsms	112
maisasbnasss	101	mawsmsmiasrs	106	miwsismwasss	112
maisasmaisas	101	mawsmsmiwsis	106	miwsismwasws	112
maisasmaisms	101	mawsmsmiwsrs	107	miwsismwisss	112
maisasmawsas	101	mawsmsmnasms	107	miwsismwisws	112
maisasmawsms	101	mawsmsmnisms	107	miwsisonasss	112
maisasmiasis	101	mawsmsmnwsms	107	miwsrsbnasss	113
maisasmiasrs	101	mawsmsmwasss	107	miwsrsmaisas	113
maisasmiwsis	101	mawsmsmwasws	107	miwsrsmaisms	113
maisasmiwsrs	101	mawsmsmwisss	107	miwsrsmawsas	113
maisasmnasms	102	mawsmsmwisws	107	miwsrsmawsms	113
maisasmnisms	102	mawsmsonasss	107	miwsrsmiasis	113
maisasmnwsms	102	miasisbnasss	107	miwsrsmiasrs	113
maisasmwasss	102	miasismaisas	108	miwsrsmiwsis	113
maisasmwasws	102	miasismaisms	108	miwsrsmiwsrs	113
maisasmwisss	102	miasismawsas	108	miwsrsmnasms	113
maisasmwisws	102	miasismawsms	108	miwsrsmnisms	114
maisasonasss	102	miasismiasis	108	miwsrsmnwsms	114
maismsbnasss	102	miasismiasrs	108	miwsrsmwasss	114
maismsmaisas	102	miasismiwsis	108	miwsrsmwasws	114
maismsmaisms	103	miasismiwsrs	108	miwsrsmwisss	114
maismsmawsas	103	miasismnasms	108	miwsrsmwisws	114
maismsmawsms	103	miasismnisms	108	miwsrsonasss	114
maismsmiasis	103	miasismnwsms	100	mnasmshnasss	114
maismsmiasrs	103	miasismwasss	109	mnasmsmaisas	114
maismemiwsis	103	miasismwasws	109	mnasmsmaisms	114
maismsmiwsrs	103	miasismwisss	109	mnasmsmawsas	115
maismsmnasms	103	miasismwisws	109	mnasmsmawsus	115
maismemnieme	103	miasisonasse	109	mnasmemiasie	115
maismemnweme	103	miasrsbnasss	109	mnasmemiaere	115
maismemwasse	103	miasrsmaisas	109	mnasmismiasis	115
maismemwaswe	104	miasrsmaisms	109	mnosmamiwara	115
maismemwiese	104	miasrsmawaaa	109	mnasmsmnasma	115
maismemuieus	104	miasrsmawsma	109	mnosmamnisma	115
maismaanaaaa	104	miasismawsins	110		115
maismisonasss	104	miasrsmiasrs	110	mnasmismiwsmis	115
mawsasonasss	104	miasrsmiasrs	110	mnasmsmwasss	113
mawsasmaisas	104	masrsmiwsis	110	mnasmsmwasws	110
mawsasmaisms	104	miasrsmiwsrs	110	mnasmsmwisss	110
mawsasmawsas	104	masrsmnasms	110	mnasmsmwisws	110
mawsasmawsms	104	masrsmnisms	110	mnasmsonasss	110
mawsasmiasis	105	miasrsmnwsms	110	mnismsonasss	110
mawsasmiasrs	105	miasrsmwasss	110	mnismsmaisas	110
mawsasmiwsis	105	miasrsmwasws	110	mnismsmaisms	110
mawsasmiwsrs	105	miasrsmwisss	111	mnismsmawsas	110
mawsasmnasms	105	miasrsmwisws	111	mnismsmawsms	110
mawsasmnisms	105	miasrsonasss	111	mnismsmiasis	116
mawsasmnwsms	105	miwsisbnasss	111	mnismsmiasrs	117
mawsasmwasss	105	miwsismaisas	111	mnismsmiwsis	117
mawsasmwasws	105	miwsismaisms	111	mnismsmiwsrs	117
mawsasmwisss	105	miwsismawsas	111	mnismsmnasms	117
mawsasmwisws	106	miwsismawsms	111	mnismsmnisms	117
mawsasonasss	106	miwsismiasis	111	mnismsmnwsms	117
mawsmsbnasss	106	miwsismiasrs	111	mnismsmwasss	117
mawsmsmaisas	106	miwsismiwsis	112	mnismsmwasws	117
mawsmsmaisms	106	miwsismiwsrs	112	mnismsmwisss	117
mawsmsmawsas	106	miwsismnasms	112	mnismsmwisws	117

mnismsonasss	118	mwaswsbnasss	121	mwiswsmaisas	125
mnwsmsbnasss	118	mwaswsmaisas	121	mwiswsmaisms	125
mnwsmsmaisas	118	mwaswsmaisms	121	mwiswsmawsas	125
mnwsmsmaisms	118	mwaswsmawsas	121	mwiswsmawsms	125
mnwsmsmawsas	118	mwaswsmawsms	121	mwiswsmiasis	125
mnwsmsmawsms	118	mwaswsmiasis	122	mwiswsmiasrs	125
mnwsmsmiasis	118	mwaswsmiasrs	122	mwiswsmiwsis	125
mnwsmsmiasrs	118	mwaswsmiwsis	122	mwiswsmiwsrs	125
mnwsmsmiwsis	118	mwaswsmiwsrs	122	mwiswsmnasms	125
mnwsmsmiwsrs	118	mwaswsmnasms	122	mwiswsmnisms	125
mnwsmsmnasms	119	mwaswsmnisms	122	mwiswsmnwsms	126
mnwsmsmnisms	119	mwaswsmnwsms	122	mwiswsmwasss	126
mnwsmsmnwsms	119	mwaswsmwasss	122	mwiswsmwasws	126
mnwsmsmwasss	119	mwaswsmwasws	122	mwiswsmwisss	126
mnwsmsmwasws	119	mwaswsmwisss	122	mwiswsmwisws	126
mnwsmsmwisss	119	mwaswsmwisws	123	mwiswsonasss	126
mnwsmsmwisws	119	mwaswsonasss	123	onasssbnasss	126
mnwsmsonasss	119	mwisssbnasss	123	onasssmaisas	126
mwasssbnasss	119	mwisssmaisas	123	onasssmaisms	126
mwasssmaisas	119	mwisssmaisms	123	onasssmawsas	126
mwasssmaisms	120	mwisssmawsas	123	onasssmawsms	127
mwasssmawsas	120	mwisssmawsms	123	onasssmiasis	127
mwasssmawsms	120	mwisssmiasis	123	onasssmiasrs	127
mwasssmiasis	120	mwisssmiasrs	123	onasssmiwsis	127
mwasssmiasrs	120	mwisssmiwsis	123	onasssmiwsrs	127
mwasssmiwsis	120	mwisssmiwsrs	124	onasssmnasms	127
mwasssmiwsrs	120	mwisssmnasms	124	onasssmnisms	127
mwasssmnasms	120	mwisssmnisms	124	onasssmnwsms	127
mwasssmnisms	120	mwisssmnwsms	124	onasssmwasss	127
mwasssmnwsms	120	mwisssmwasss	124	onasssmwasws	127
mwasssmwasss	121	mwisssmwasws	124	onasssmwisss	128
mwasssmwasws	121	mwisssmwisss	124	onasssmwisws	128
mwasssmwisss	121	mwisssmwisws	124	onasssonasss	128
mwasssmwisws	121	mwisssonasss	124		
mwasssonasss	121	mwiswsbnasss	124		

# 8.20 Mie: twosphere soaked wet snow, Seifert/Beheng-scheme

bnasssbnasss	129	mawsasmiasrs	135	miasrsmwasss	140
bnasssmaisas	129	mawsasmiwsis	135	miasrsmwasws	140
bnasssmaisms	129	mawsasmiwsrs	135	miasrsmwisss	141
bnasssmawsas	129	mawsasmnasms	135	miasrsmwisws	141
bnasssmawsms	129	mawsasmnisms	135	miasrsonasss	141
bnasssmiasis	129	mawsasmnwsms	135	miwsisbnasss	141
bnasssmiasrs	130	mawsasmwasss	135	miwsismaisas	141
bnasssmiwsis	130	mawsasmwasws	135	miwsismaisms	141
bnasssmiwsrs	130	mawsasmwisss	135	miwsismawsas	141
bnasssmnasms	130	mawsasmwisws	136	miwsismawsms	141
bnasssmnisms	130	mawsasonasss	136	miwsismiasis	141
bnasssmnwsms	130	mawsmsbnasss	136	miwsismiasrs	141
bnasssmwasss	130	mawsmsmaisas	136	miwsismiwsis	142
bnasssmwasws	130	mawsmsmaisms	136	miwsismiwsrs	142
bnasssmwisss	130	mawsmsmawsas	136	miwsismnasms	142
bnasssmwisws	130	mawsmsmawsms	136	miwsismnisms	142
bnasssonasss	131	mawsmsmiasis	136	miwsismnwsms	142
maisasbnasss	131	mawsmsmiasrs	136	miwsismwasss	142
maisasmaisas	131	mawsmsmiwsis	136	miwsismwasws	142
maisasmaisms	131	mawsmsmiwsrs	137	miwsismwisss	142
maisasmawsas	131	mawsmsmnasms	137	miwsismwisws	142
maisasmawsms	131	mawsmsmnisms	137	miwsisonasss	142
maisasmiasis	131	mawsmsmnwsms	137	miwsrsbnasss	143
maisasmiasrs	131	mawsmsmwasss	137	miwsrsmaisas	143
maisasmiwsis	131	mawsmsmwasws	137	miwsrsmaisms	143
maisasmiwsrs	131	mawsmsmwisss	137	miwsrsmawsas	143
maisasmnasms	132	mawsmsmwisws	137	miwsrsmawsms	143
maisasmnisms	132	mawsmsonasss	137	miwsrsmiasis	143
maisasmnwsms	132	miasisbnasss	137	miwsrsmiasrs	143
maisasmwasss	132	miasismaisas	138	miwsrsmiwsis	143
maisasmwasws	132	miasismaisms	138	miwsrsmiwsrs	143
maisasmwisss	132	miasismawsas	138	miwsrsmnasms	143
maisasmwisws	132	miasismawsms	138	miwsrsmnisms	144
maisasonasss	132	miasismiasis	138	miwsrsmnwsms	144
maismsbnasss	132	miasismiasrs	138	miwsrsmwasss	144
maismsmaisas	132	miasismiwsis	138	miwsrsmwasws	144
maismsmaisms	133	miasismiwsrs	138	miwsrsmwisss	144
maismsmawsas	133	miasismnasms	138	miwsrsmwisws	144
maismsmawsms	133	miasismnisms	138	miwsrsonasss	144
maismsmiasis	133	miasismnwsms	139	mnasmsbnasss	144
maismsmiasrs	133	miasismwasss	139	mnasmsmaisas	144
maismsmiwsis	133	miasismwasws	139	mnasmsmaisms	144
maismsmiwsrs	133	miasismwisss	139	mnasmsmawsas	145
maismsmnasms	133	miasismwisws	139	mnasmsmawsms	145
maismsmnisms	133	miasisonasss	139	mnasmsmiasis	145
maismsmnwsms	133	miasrsbnasss	139	mnasmsmiasrs	145
maismsmwasss	134	miasrsmaisas	139	mnasmsmiwsis	145
maismsmwasws	134	miasrsmaisms	139	mnasmsmiwsrs	145
maismsmwisss	134	miasrsmawsas	139	mnasmsmnasms	145
maismsmwisws	134	miasrsmawsms	140	mnasmsmnisms	145
maismsonasss	134	miasrsmiasis	140	mnasmsmnwsms	145
mawsasbnasss	134	miasrsmiasrs	140	mnasmsmwasss	145
mawsasmaisas	134	miasrsmiwsis	140	mnasmsmwasws	146
mawsasmaisms	134	miasrsmiwsrs	140	mnasmsmwisss	146
mawsasmawsas	134	miasrsmnasms	140	mnasmsmwisws	146
mawsasmawsms	134	miasrsmnisms	140	mnasmsonasss	146
mawsasmiasis	135	miasrsmnwsms	140	mnismsbnasss	146

mnismsmaisas	146	mwasssmiwsis	150	mwisssmwasws	154
mnismsmaisms	146	mwasssmiwsrs	150	mwisssmwisss	154
mnismsmawsas	146	mwasssmnasms	150	mwisssmwisws	154
mnismsmawsms	146	mwasssmnisms	150	mwisssonasss	154
mnismsmiasis	146	mwasssmnwsms	150	mwiswsbnasss	154
mnismsmiasrs	147	mwasssmwasss	151	mwiswsmaisas	155
mnismsmiwsis	147	mwasssmwasws	151	mwiswsmaisms	155
mnismsmiwsrs	147	mwasssmwisss	151	mwiswsmawsas	155
mnismsmnasms	147	mwasssmwisws	151	mwiswsmawsms	155
mnismsmnisms	147	mwasssonasss	151	mwiswsmiasis	155
mnismsmnwsms	147	mwaswsbnasss	151	mwiswsmiasrs	155
mnismsmwasss	147	mwaswsmaisas	151	mwiswsmiwsis	155
mnismsmwasws	147	mwaswsmaisms	151	mwiswsmiwsrs	155
mnismsmwisss	147	mwaswsmawsas	151	mwiswsmnasms	155
mnismsmwisws	147	mwaswsmawsms	151	mwiswsmnisms	155
mnismsonasss	148	mwaswsmiasis	152	mwiswsmnwsms	156
mnwsmsbnasss	148	mwaswsmiasrs	152	mwiswsmwasss	156
mnwsmsmaisas	148	mwaswsmiwsis	152	mwiswsmwasws	156
mnwsmsmaisms	148	mwaswsmiwsrs	152	mwiswsmwisss	156
mnwsmsmawsas	148	mwaswsmnasms	152	mwiswsmwisws	156
mnwsmsmawsms	148	mwaswsmnisms	152	mwiswsonasss	156
mnwsmsmiasis	148	mwaswsmnwsms	152	onasssbnasss	156
mnwsmsmiasrs	148	mwaswsmwasss	152	onasssmaisas	156
mnwsmsmiwsis	148	mwaswsmwasws	152	onasssmaisms	156
mnwsmsmiwsrs	148	mwaswsmwisss	152	onasssmawsas	156
mnwsmsmnasms	149	mwaswsmwisws	153	onasssmawsms	157
mnwsmsmnisms	149	mwaswsonasss	153	onasssmiasis	157
mnwsmsmnwsms	149	mwisssbnasss	153	onasssmiasrs	157
mnwsmsmwasss	149	mwisssmaisas	153	onasssmiwsis	157
mnwsmsmwasws	149	mwisssmaisms	153	onasssmiwsrs	157
mnwsmsmwisss	149	mwisssmawsas	153	onasssmnasms	157
mnwsmsmwisws	149	mwisssmawsms	153	onasssmnisms	157
mnwsmsonasss	149	mwisssmiasis	153	onasssmnwsms	157
mwasssbnasss	149	mwisssmiasrs	153	onasssmwasss	157
mwasssmaisas	149	mwisssmiwsis	153	onasssmwasws	157
mwasssmaisms	150	mwisssmiwsrs	154	onasssmwisss	158
mwasssmawsas	150	mwisssmnasms	154	onasssmwisws	158
mwasssmawsms	150	mwisssmnisms	154	onasssonasss	158
mwasssmiasis	150	mwisssmnwsms	154		
mwasssmiasrs	150	mwisssmwasss	154		

## 9 References

## References

- Battan, L. J., *Radar Observations of the Atmosphere*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1973.
- Bohren, C. F. and L. J. Battan, 1982: Radar backscattering of microwaves by spongy ice spheres, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **39**, 2623–2628.
- Bohren, C. F. and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1983.
- Bruggemann, D. A. G., 1935: Berechnung verschiedener physikalischer Konstanten von heterogenen Substanzen. I. Dielektrizitätskonstanten und Leitfähigkeiten der Mischkörper aus isotropen Substanzen, Ann. Phys., 24, 636–679.
- Chýlek, P. and V. Srivastava, 1983: Dielectric constant of a composite inhomogeneous medium, *Phys. Rev. B*, **27**(8), 5098–5106.
- Debye, P., Polar Molecules, New York (The Chemical Catalogue), 1929.
- Deirmendjian, D., *Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions*, American Elsevier Publishing Company, New York, 1969.
- Fabry, F. and W. Szyrmer, 1999: Modeling of the melting layer. Part II: Electromagnetic, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **56**, 3593–3600.
- Joss, J. and A. N. Aufdermaur, 1965: Experimental determination of the radar cross sections of artificial hailstones containing water, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **4**, 723–726.
- Kerker, M., *The Scattering of Light and Other Electromagnetic Radiation*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1969.
- Lichtenecker, A., 1926: Die Dielektrizitätskonstante natürlicher und künstlicher Mischkörper, *Phys. Z.*, **27**, 115–158.
- Liebe, H. J., G. A. Hufford and T. Manabe, 1991: A model for the complex permittivity of water at frequencies below 1 THz, *Int. J. Infrared Millim. Waves*, **12**, 659–675.
- Mätzler, C., Microwave properties of ice and snow, in: B. Schmitt et al. (editor), *Solar System Ices*, volume 227 of *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998, pp. 241–257.
- Maxwell Garnett, J. C., 1904: Colors in metal glasses and in metallic films, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, A203, 385–420.
- Oguchi, T., 1983: Electromagnetic wave propagation and scattering in rain and other hydrometeors, *Proc. IEEE*, **71**(9), 1029–1078.
- Press, W. H., S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in Fortran* 77: *The Art of Scientific Computing*, Cambridge University Press, 2001, 2. edition.

Ray, P. S., 1972: Broadband complex refractive indices of ice and water, Appl. Opt., 11, 1836–1844.

- Segelstein, D. J., 1981: *The Complex Refractive Index of Water*, Master's thesis, Department of Physics, University of Missouri, Kansas City.
- Seifert, A. and K. D. Beheng, 2006: A two-moment cloud microphysics parameterization for mixedphase clouds. part I: Model description, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 92, 45–66.
- Stroud, D., 1975: Generalized effective-medium approach to the conductivity of an inhomogeneous material, *Phys. Rev. B*, **12**(8), 3368–3373.
- Warren, S. G., 1984: Optical constants of ice from the ultraviolet to the microwave, *Appl. Opt.*, **23**(8), 1206–1225.
- Wiener, O., 1912: Die Theorie des Mischkörpers für das Feld der stationären Strömung. Erste Abhandlung. Die Mittelwertsätze für Kraft, Polarisation und Energie, 32. Band der Abhandlungen der mathematisch-physikalischen Klasse der Königl. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, (509–604).
- Zhang, S. and J. Jin, Computation of Special Functions, John Wiley and sons, 1996.